In re Twitter, Inc. Sh	areholder Derivative Litigation		Do	c. 36
				ı
				ı
				ı
1	ROBBINS ARROYO LLP			ı
2	BRIAN J. ROBBINS (190264) FELIPE J. ARROYO (163803)			ı
3	SHANE P. SANDERS (237146) 600 B Street, Suite 1900			ı
4	San Diego, CA 92101			i
	Telephone: (619) 525-3990 Facsimile: (619) 525-3991			i
5	brobbins@robbinsarroyo.com farroyo@robbinsarroyo.com			i
6	ssanders@robbinsarroyo.com			ı
7	-and-			ı
8	JOHNSON & WEAVER, LLP FRANK J. JOHNSON (174882)			i
9	PHONG L. TRAN (204961)			i
10	600 West Broadway, Suite 1540 San Diego, CA 92101			ı
11	Telephone: (619) 230-0063 Facsimile: (619) 255-1856			i
12	frankj@johnsonandweaver.com phongt@johnsonandweaver.com			ı
13	Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs			l
14	[Additional Counsel on Signature Page]			ı
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		ı	
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			ı
17	IN RE TWITTER, INC. SHAREHOLDER DERIVATIVE LITIGATION,	Lead Case No.: 3:16-cv-06136-JST		ı
18	2214 1111 2 21116111261	(Consolidated with Nos. 3:16-cv-06457-JST and 4:16-cv-06492-JST)		ı
19	This Document Relates To:	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]		ı
20	ALL ACTIONS.	ORDER ORDER		ı
21		(Derivative Action)		ı
22		Judge Henerable Ion C. Tiger		ı
23		Judge: Honorable Jon S. Tigar Courtroom: 9		ı
24		Date Action Filed: October 24, 2016		ı
25				ı
26				Ī
27				ı
28				İ
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]-ORDER	Lead Case No. 3:16-cv-06136	-JST	l
		Dockets.c	Justia.	.com

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Plaintiffs Jim Porter, Ernesto Espinoza, and Francis Fleming ("Plaintiffs"), individual defendants Richard Costolo, Anthony Noto, Jack Dorsey, Peter Fenton, David Rosenblatt, Marjorie Scardino, Evan Williams, Peter Chernin, Peter Currie, and nominal defendant Twitter, Inc. ("Twitter" and, together with the individual defendants, the "Defendants"), through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:

WHEREAS, on April 12, 2017, parties filed a Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Staying the Action, pending the outcome of a motion to dismiss a related federal securities class action in this Court, entitled *Shenwick v. Twitter, Inc.*, No. 3:16-cv-05314-JST (the "Securities Action");

WHEREAS, on October 16, 2017, the Court issued an order in the Securities Action granting in part and denying in part defendants' motion to dismiss;

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Court's April 13, 2017 Order, the parties in this consolidated derivative action (the "Derivative Action") are to submit a proposed scheduling stipulation to the Court by November 15, 2017, 30 days after the ruling on the motion to dismiss in the Securities Action;

WHEREAS, the parties have been in ongoing discussions and continue to discuss (1) the impact of the ruling on the motion to dismiss in the Securities Action on the Derivative Action, (2) Defendants' claim that the Derivative Action must be litigated in Delaware pursuant to a forum selection clause, (3) Defendants' position that the Derivative Action should be stayed pending resolution of the Securities Action, (4) the parties' respective positions on the next steps in the Derivative Action, and (5) a proposed schedule with respect to these next steps;

WHEREAS, the parties agree, subject to order of the Court, that they shall continue their discussions and shall submit to the Court a proposed scheduling stipulation on or before December 15, 2017; and

26

25

27

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1

2

WHEREAS, the parties further agree that by entering into this stipulation, Defendants expressly reserve and do not waive their defenses and objections in the Derivative Action, including defenses and objections to jurisdiction, forum and venue;

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the parties, through their undersigned counsel, subject to the approval of the Court, as follows:

- 1. The parties shall have up to and including December 15, 2017 to submit to the Court a proposed scheduling stipulation.
- Defendants' time to respond to the complaint that Plaintiffs filed during the pendency of the stay in this Derivative Action shall be extended until at least 30 days after the parties submit a scheduling stipulation to the Court.
- 3. In entering into this Stipulation, Defendants expressly reserve all, and do not waive any, of their defenses and objections in this Derivative Action, including defenses and objections to jurisdiction, forum and venue.

IT IS SO ORDERED

Judge Jon S. Tigar

Dated: November 15, 2017

ROBBINS ARROYO LLP FELIPE J. ARROYO BRIAN J. ROBBINS SHANE P. SANDERS

By: /s/ Shane P. Sanders

SHANE P. SANDERS

600 B Street, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 525-3990 Facsimile: (619) 525-3991 brobbins@robbinsarroyo.com farroyo@robbinsarroyo.com ssanders@robbinsarroyo.com

Dated: November 16, 2017

1	Dated: November 15, 2017 JOHNSON & WEAVER, LLP FRANK J. JOHNSON		
2	PHONG L. TRAN		
3	By: /s/ Frank J. Johnson		
4	FRANK J. JOHNSON		
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1540		
6	San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 230-0063		
7	Facsimile: (619) 255-1856 frankj@johnsonandweaver.com		
	phongt@johnsonandweaver.com		
8	Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs		
9			
10	Dated: November 15, 2017 SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP SIMONA G. STRAUSS		
11	By: /s/ Simona G. Strauss		
12	SIMONA G. STRAUSS		
13	2475 Hanover Street		
14	Palo Alto, CA 94304 Telephone: (650) 251-5203		
15	Facsimile: (650) 251-5002 sstrauss@stblaw.com		
16	Attorneys for Defendants Richard Costolo,		
17	Anthony Noto, Jack Dorsey, Peter Fenton, David Rosenblatt, Marjorie Scardino, Evan		
18	Williams, Peter Chernin, Peter Currie, and Nominal Defendant Twitter, Inc.		
19			
	SIGNATURE ATTESTATION		
20	I am the ECF user whose identification and password are being used to file the		
21	foregoing Stipulation and [Proposed] Order. In compliance with Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained.		
22			
23	Dated: November 15, 2017 /s/ Shane P. Sanders		
24	Shane P. Sanders		
25			
26			
27			
28			
	3		