Stipulation re Dismissal, [Proposed] Order, etc.

Doc. 38

WHEREAS on October 31, 2016, Plaintiff filed its Complaint against Defenda

Federal Water Pollution Control Act ("Act" or "Clean Water Act"), 33 U.S.C. § 1365;

WHEREAS, on October 31, 2016, Plaintiff filed its Complaint against Defendant in this Court and said Complaint incorporated by reference all of the allegations contained in Plaintiff's CWA 60-Day Notice Letter;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and Defendant, through their authorized representatives and without either adjudication of Plaintiff's claims or admission by Defendant of any alleged violation or other wrongdoing, have chosen to resolve in full by way of settlement the allegations of Plaintiff as set forth in Plaintiff's CWA 60-Day Notice Letter and Complaint, thereby avoiding the costs and uncertainties of further litigation. A copy of the Parties' proposed settlement agreement ("Settlement Agreement") entered into by and between Plaintiff and Defendant is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff has submitted the Settlement Agreement to the U.S. EPA and the U.S. Department of Justice ("the agencies") and the 45-day review period set forth at 40 C.F.R. § 135.5 has now expired;

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED and agreed by and between the Parties that Plaintiff's claims, as set forth in its CWA 60-Day Notice Letter and Complaint, be dismissed with prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2). The Parties respectfully request an order from this Court dismissing such claims with prejudice. In accordance with Paragraph 5 of the Settlement Agreement, the Parties also request that this Court retain and have jurisdiction over the Parties through August 3, 2019, or as long thereafter as is necessary for the Court to resolve any pending motion to enforce this Consent Agreement, for the sole purpose of resolving any disputes between the Parties with respect to enforcement of any provision of the Settlement Agreement.

1	DATED: August 22, 2017	AQUA TERRA AERIS LAW GROUP
2		for - Alasta
3		By:
4		JASON R. FLANDERS Attorneys for Plaintiff
5		HUMBOLDT BAYKEEPER
6	DATED: August 22, 2017	THE ARNOLD LAW PRACTICE
7		By Jan Cowlet
8		JAMES R. ARNOLD
9		JOHN A. BEARD Attorneys for Defendant
10		ROYAL GOLD, LLC
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	, i	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Good cause appearing, and the Parties having stipulated and agreed,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff Humboldt Baykeeper's claims against Defendant Royal Gold, LLC, as set forth in Plaintiff's CWA 60-Day Notice Letter and Complaint, are hereby dismissed with prejudice, each side to bear their own attorney fees and costs, except as provided for by the terms of the accompanying Settlement Agreement.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court shall retain and have jurisdiction over the Parties with respect to disputes arising under the Settlement Agreement (attached to the Parties' Stipulation to Dismiss as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference) until August 3, 2019, or as long thereafter as is necessary for the Court to resolve any pending motion to enforce this Consent Agreement,

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 8/24/17

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

> Case No. 3:16-cv-06285-RS Stipulation re Dismissal, [Proposed] Order, etc.