Dytch v. Guzman et	al	D	
1	Tanya E. Moore, SBN 206683 Zachary M. Best, SBN 166035		
2	MISSION LAW FIRM, A.P.C. 332 North Second Street		
3	San Jose, California 95112 Telephone (408) 298-2000		
4	Facsimile (408) 298-6046 Email: service@mission.legal		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
6	Albert Dytch		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	ALBERT DYTCH,	) No. 3:16-cv-06459-MMC	
12	Plaintiff,	) STIPULATION TO CONTINUE LAST	
13	VS.	DATE TO MEET AND CONFER UNDER GENERAL ORDER 56 AND RELATED	
14	ENRIQUE ALEJANDRO GUZMAN dba	DATE; <del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER	
15	LOS DOS HERMANOS, et al.,	) )	
16	Defendants.	) )	
17		) )	
18			
19	WHEREAS, on November 4, 2016, the Court issued a Scheduling Order for Cases		
20	Asserting Denial of Right of Access under the Americans with Disabilities Act (Dkt. 4) ("the		
21	Scheduling Order");		
22	WHEREAS, the joint site inspection required pursuant to General Order 56 and the		
23	Scheduling Order took place on December 19, 2016;		
24	WHEREAS, the Scheduling Order requires that the parties, and their counsel, meet and		
25	confer in person no later than 28 days after the joint site inspection, here, January 16, 2017;		
26	WHEREAS, it is Plaintiff's position that the December 19, 2016 joint site inspection		
27	did not meet the in-person meet and confer requirement of General Order 56 as Plaintiff		
28	himself was not present. Plaintiff has now provided Defendants a list of all conditions he		
	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE LAST DATE TO MEET AND CONFER UNDER GENERAL ORDER 56 AND RELATED DATE; [PROPOSED] ORDER  Page 1		

Doc. 12

asserts are non-compliant at the subject property and for which he seeks injunctive relief, as well as his proposed non-monetary terms of settlement. The parties are working together to resolve any questions or disputes and, once the injunctive relief has been tentatively agreed upon, Plaintiff will promptly provide his monetary demand as required by General Order 56.

WHEREAS, defense counsel asserts that the meet and confer obligation was met as her clients and CASP consultant attended and met with Plaintiffs' counsel and CASP consultant. Defendants do not agree that a second in person meet and confer is necessary. Defense counsel asserts that since the consultants and parties are currently negotiating the resolution of the repair items to obviate the need for an action; it is unnecessary to hold a second meet and confer especially here where Plaintiff's counsel is not prepared to provide defendants with a monetary demand.

WHEREAS, the parties have agreed that the meet and confer may take place on February 28, 2017 at 11:00 a.m. or a stipulated date agreed upon by the parties on or before March 17, 2017 in the event the meet and confer cannot take place as currently scheduled (to avoid the filing of repeated stipulations which wastes the parties' resource, and more importantly, the court's time);

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby stipulated by and between Plaintiff, Albert Dytch, and Defendants, Enrique Alejandro Guzman dba Los Dos Hermanos, Luz Maria Guzman dba Los Dos Hermanos, and Alicia Guzman through their respective counsel, that the meet and confer required under General Order 56 and this Court's Scheduling Order take place on February 28, 2017 at 11:00 a.m., or a stipulated date agreed upon the parties on or before March 17, 2017.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that if a settlement of the matter is not reached at the meet and confer, that Plaintiff shall file a Notice of Need for Mediation within 14 days of the meet and confer.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

1	Dated: January 17, 2017	MISSION LAW FIRM, A.P.C.	
2			
3		<u>s/ Tanya E. Moore</u> Sanya E. Moore	
4	Α	attorneys for Plaintiff,	
5		Albert Dytch	
6	Dated: January 17, 2017	SHANTOUS LAW CORPORATION	
7			
8	- III	s/Karyne T. Ghantous	
9		Earyne T. Ghantous Attorneys for Defendants,	
10	E	nrique Alejandro Guzman dba Los Dos	
11		Iermanos, Luz Maria Guzman dba Los Dos Iermanos, and Alicia Guzman	
12			
13	ATTESTATION		
14	Concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the individual(s whose electronic signature is attributed above.		
15	-	s/ Tanya E. Moore	
16		Canya E. Moore Attorneys for Plaintiff	
17	- 11	Albert Dytch	
18	<u>Ol</u>	<u>RDER</u>	
19	The parties having so stipulated and go	ood cause appearing,	
20	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the in person meet and confer required by this		
21	Court's Scheduling Order and General Order 56 shall take place no later than		
22	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the parties are unable to reach a settlement of		
23	this matter at the in person meet and confer, I	Plaintiff shall file a Notice of Need for Mediation	
24	within 14 days of the meet and confer.		
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
26		1	
27	Dated: January 18, 2017	Waline M. Chelmey	
28	Ţ	United States Senior District Judge	
		MEET AND CONFER UNDER GENERAL ORDER 56	

AND RELATED DATE; [PROPOSED] ORDER