1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 8 9 ANDREA MUCHIN and PAUL GIBB, No. C 16-06883 WHA 10 Plaintiffs, 11 v. ORDER DENYING PRO 12 MYLAN N.V., et al., HAC VICE APPLICATION OF ATTORNEYS ADAM K. 13 Defendants. LEVIN, MITCHELL E. ZAMOFF, AND DAVID M. FOSTER 14 15 The pro hac vice application of Attorneys Adam L. Levin, Mitchell E. Zamoff, and 16 David M. Foster (Dkt. Nos. 16–18) are **DENIED** for failing to comply with Local Rule 11-3. The 17 local rule requires that an applicant certify that "he or she is an active member in good standing 18 of the bar of a United States Court or of the highest court of another State or the District of 19 Columbia, specifying such bar" (emphasis added). Filling out the pro hac vice form from the 20 district court website such that it only identifies the state of bar membership — such as "the bar 21 of the District of Columbia" — is inadequate under the local rule because it fails to identify a 22 specific court. While the application fees do not need to be paid again, the applications cannot 23 be processed until corrected forms are submitted.

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24

26

27

28

Dated: January 9, 2017.

