27

1 2 ("Defendants"), after consultation with the Court, hereby stipulate and agree that—with the 3 4 5 6 7 10 11 12 13

exception of Defendants' supplemental response to Plaintiff's Interrogatory No. 4, Defendants' agreed supplemental production and identification of documents required by Patent Local Rule 3-4(d), and the parties' exchange of damages contentions pursuant to Patent Local Rule 3-8 and 3-9 (collectively, the "Initial Damages Discovery Items")—all other damages-related discovery shall be rescheduled to commence after the Court issues its claim construction and dispositive motion ruling(s) (collectively, "Dispositive Rulings"). Defendants' supplemental response to Plaintiff's Interrogatory No. 4 and agreed supplemental production of documents required by Patent Local Rule 3-4(d) shall include (to the extent not already produced, but maintained in the ordinary course of business) units sold, sales, revenue, cost, and profit information for each Accused Product<sup>2</sup> identified in Plaintiff's Patent Local Rule 3-1(b) disclosure and shall cover the time-period of 2010 to present.

Plaintiff Vir2us, Inc. ("Vir2us") and Defendants Cisco Systems, Inc. and Sourcefire LLC

The parties stipulate and agree that the following deadlines shall apply to the Initial Damages Discovery Items:

16	Event	Deadline
17 18 19	Defendants' supplemental response to Plaintiff's Interrogatory No. 4 and Defendants' agreed supplemental production of documents required by Patent	June 21, 2017
20	Local Rule 3-4(d)	

21

14

15

23

24

Plaintiff contends there are deficiencies in Defendants' existing production and

27

<sup>22</sup> 

identification of documents required by Patent Local Rule 3-4(d). Defendants disagree. In an effort to resolve the dispute, Defendants have agreed to make a supplemental document production and identification of documents as part of its supplemental response to Plaintiff's Interrogatory No. 4, which Defendants hope will moot the dispute. The parties reserve all rights regarding that dispute.

<sup>25</sup> 26

Defendants have objected to the definition of "Accused Products" set forth in Plaintiff's Patent Local Rule 3-1(b) disclosure. Plaintiff has neither agreed nor acquiesced to those objections. Defendants will provide the categories of information described herein by June 21 in accordance with their objections. If Plaintiff believes those objections are improper or result in an improper narrowing or exclusion of products, then the parties shall confer after June 21, and Plaintiff maintains the right to raise any such unresolved dispute with the Court immediately following the meet-and-confer process.

Event	Deadline
Vir2us's amended disclosure of damages contentions (P.L.R. 3-8)	July 14, 2017
Defendants' disclosure of responsive damages contentions (P.L.R. 3-9)	August 4, 2017

The parties further stipulate and agree that following the issuance of the last of the Court's Dispositive Rulings related to claim construction and/or dispositive motions heard at the currently scheduled March 7, 2018 hearing, fact discovery relating only to damages issues shall recommence and last 60 days, to be followed by a 73-day period for expert discovery relating only to damages issues and then a 14-day period to file any *Daubert* motions related to damages issues. Accordingly, the following deadlines shall apply to the damages-related discovery period:

Event	Deadline
Damages-related fact discovery ends	60 days after the Court issues its final Dispositive Ruling
Vir2us's opening expert report on damages	10 days after damages-related fact discovery ends
Defendants' rebuttal expert report on damages	28 days after Vir2us's opening expert report on damages
Vir2us's reply expert report on damages	21 days after Defendants' rebuttal expert report on damages
Damages expert discovery ends	14 days after Vir2us's reply expert report on damages
Daubert motions on damages-related issues	14 days after close of damages expert discovery

The foregoing has been stipulated and agreed to by and among the parties, this 20th day 1 2 of June, 2017. 3 /s/ Brian A.E. Smith /s/ Matthew C. Gaudet 4 Henry C. Bunsow (SBN 60707) Richard L. Seabolt California Bar No. 67469 hbunsow@bdiplaw.com 5 Brian A.E. Smith (SBN 188147) Duane Morris LLP bsmith@bdiplaw.com Spear Tower 6 Alden K.W. Lee (SBN 257973) One Market Plaza, Suite 2200 alee@bdiplaw.com San Francisco, CA 94105-11127 7 Joseph J. Fraresso (SBN 289228) (415) 957-3000 ifraresso@bdiplaw.com Email: rlseabolt@duanemorris.com 8 BUNSOW, DE MORY, SMITH & ALLISON LLP 351 California Street, Suite 200 L. Norwood Jameson (pro hac vice) 9 San Francisco, CA 94104 Matthew C. Gaudet (pro hac vice) Telephone: (415) 426-4747 John R. Gibson (pro hac vice) 10 Jennifer H. Forte (pro hac vice) Facsimile: (415) 426-4744 1075 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 2000 11 Christina M. Finn (SBN 247838) Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3929 cfinn@bdiplaw.com (404) 253-6900 12 Jeffrey D. Chen (SBN 267837) Email: wjameson@duanemorris.com jchen@bdiplaw.com Email: mcgaudet@duanemorris.com 13 BUNSOW, DE MORY, SMITH & ALLISON LLP Email: jrgibson@duanemorris.com 701 El Camino Real Email: jhforte@duanemorris.com 14 Redwood City, CA 94063 Telephone: (650) 351-7248 Joseph A. Powers (pro hac vice) 15 30 South 17th Street Facsimile: (650) 351-7253 Philadelphia, PA 19103-4196 16 ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF VIR2US, Office: (215) 979-1000 INC. Email: japowers@duanemorris.com 17 ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS CISCO 18 SYSTEM. INC. AND SOURCEFIRE LLC 19 20 Date: June 22, 2017 21 IT IS SO ORDERED 22 23 Judge Vince Chhabria 24 25

26

27

1	<u>ATTESTATION</u>
2	
3	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1, I hereby attest that I have obtained concurrence of the
4	above noted signatories as indicated by a "conformed" signature (/s/) within this e-filed document.
5	Dated: June 20, 2017
6	/s/ Brian A.E. Smith
7	Brian A.E. Smith
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	