

1 Robert F. McCauley (SBN 162056)
 2 robert.mccauley@finnegan.com
 3 Erik P. Puknys (SBN 190926)
 4 erik.puknys@finnegan.com
 5 Jacob Schroeder (SBN 264717)
 6 jacob.schroeder@finnegan.com
 7 Jeffrey D. Smyth (SBN 280665)
 8 jeffrey.smyth@finnegan.com
 9 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
 10 GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP
 11 3300 Hillview Avenue
 12 Palo Alto, California 94304
 13 Telephone: (650) 849-6600
 14 Facsimile: (650) 849-6666

15 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 16 ASETEK DANMARK A/S

Gordon M. Fauth, Jr. (SBN 190280)
LITIGATION LAW GROUP
 1801 Clement Avenue, Suite 101
 Alameda, CA 94501
 Telephone: (510) 238-9610
 Facsimile: (510) 337-1431
 gms@classlitigation.com

Kent E. Baldauf, Jr. (PA ID No. 70793)*
 Bryan P. Clark (PA ID No. 205708)*
 Christian D. Ehret (PA ID No. 311984)*
THE WEBB LAW FIRM
 Once Gateway Center
 420 Ft. Duquesne Blvd., Suite 1200
 Pittsburgh, PA 15222
 Telephone: (412) 471-8815
 Facsimile: (412) 471-4094
 kbaldaufjr@webblaw.com
 bclark@webblaw.com
 cehret@webblaw.com
 Pro Hac Vice Applications Forthcoming

Attorneys for Defendants
 Newegg, Inc. and Newegg North America, Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

21 ASETEK DANMARK A/S
 22 Plaintiff,
 23 v.
 24 NEWEGG INC., NEWEGG NORTH
 25 AMERICA INC.,
 26 Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:16-CV-07068-JST

**JOINT STIPULATED REQUEST AND
 [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE
 STAY OF PROCEEDINGS PENDING
 CONSUMMATION OF SETTLEMENT**

1 Plaintiff Asetek Danmark A/S (“Asetek”) and Defendants Newegg Inc. and Newegg North
2 America Inc. (“Newegg”), through their undersigned attorneys, hereby jointly notify the Court that
3 the parties have reached an agreement to settle this matter, which was recently signed by a
4 representative of Newegg but not yet by Asetek’s CEO (who has been traveling and largely
5 unavailable since receipt of Newegg’s signature this past weekend). Counsel for the parties further
6 note that once the settlement agreement has been fully executed, there is a further act that must be
7 completed per the settlement agreement before the parties will file a stipulated consent judgment.
8 Accordingly, counsel respectfully request an order from the Court to stay proceedings for an
9 additional 14 days (the parties expect to file a consent judgment papers within the 14 day period).

10 **THEREFORE**, the parties jointly request that the Court stay all deadlines in this case for 14
11 days until October 19, 2017.

12
13 Dated: October 4, 2017

By: /s/ Robert F. McCauley
Robert F. McCauley
Attorneys for Plaintiff
ASETEK DANMARK A/S

14
15
16
17 Dated: October 4, 2017

By: /s/ Gordon M. Fauth, Jr.
Gordon M. Fauth, Jr.
Attorneys for Defendants
NEWEGG INC. and
NEWEGG NORTH AMERICA INC.

18
19
20
21 **ATTESTATION**

22 I hereby attest that concurrence in the content and filing of this document has been obtained
23 from counsel for Defendants.

24
25 Dated: October 4, 2017

By: /s/ Robert F. McCauley
Robert F. McCauley
Attorneys for Plaintiff
ASETEK DANMARK A/S

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PROPOSED ORDER

Having considered the foregoing joint stipulated request and finding that good cause exists to stay this proceeding, it is **HEREBY ORDERED** that all deadlines in this matter are stayed until October 19, 2017.

It is further **ORDERED** that the parties shall file consent judgment papers or an updated stipulated schedule by October 19, 2017.

Dated: October 5, 2017



The Honorable Jon S. Tigar
United States District Court Judge
Northern District of California