1 2	VIJAY K. TOKE (CA Bar No. 215079) (<u>vijay@cobaltlaw.com</u>) COBALT LLP 918 Parker Street, Bldg. A21 Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: (510) 841-9800 Facsimile: (510) 295-2401		
3			
3 4			
5	DAVID B. OWSLEY II (to be admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)		
6	(dowsley@stites.com) STITES & HARBISON PLLC 400 West Market Street, Suite 1800 Louisville, KY 40202-3352 Telephone: (502) 587-3400 Facsimile: (502) 587-6391		
7			
8			
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs TECH 21 UK LIMITED and TECH 21 LICENSING LIMITED		
10			
11	UNITED STATES DISTDICT COUDT		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
14	TECH 21 UK LIMITED, a UK company and TECH 21 LICENSING LIMITED, a UK	Case No.: 3:16-cv-07147-JST	
15	company,		
16	Plaintiffs,	CONSENT JUDGMENT	
17	V.		
18	RUBICON VENTURES LLC, a Massachusetts limited liability company,		
19	Defendant.		
20			
21			
22	This civil action has come before the Court, upon the pleadings of record, and it has been		
23	represented to the Court that plaintiffs, Tech 21 UK Limited and Tech 21 Licensing Limited		
24	(together "Tech21"), and defendant, Rubicon Ventures ("Rubicon"), have agreed to a final		
25	resolution of this case on the terms and conditions set forth below:		
26	///		
27	///		
28			
	1 CONSENT JUDGMENT		
		Dockets	 III offi

WHEREFORE, with the consent of the parties, through their undersigned attorneys, and
 with the approval of this Court, the Parties submit the following consent judgment.
 <u>Background</u>

Tech21 filed its Complaint, asserting claims for: (1) Trade Dress Infringement (15
 U.S.C. § 1125(a)); (2) False Designation of Origin (15 U.S.C. §1125(a)); (3) Trade Dress Infringement
 (California common law); (4) Unfair Business Practices (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17200); (5) Design
 Patent Infringement (35 U.S.C. §§ 271 & 289).

8

2. This Court has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this action.

9 3. Tech21 manufactures and sells a variety of protective cases and packaging to protect
10 handheld mobile electronic devices.

11 4. Rubicon manufactures and sells a variety of protective cases and packaging to
12 protect handheld mobile electronic devices.

13 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

14 5. Rubicon, and its affiliates, subsidiaries, officers, directors, agents, successors and
15 assigns, are hereby permanently restrained and enjoined from:

- (a) making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing all units of the Phantom
 product referenced in paragraphs 26-27 of the Complaint; and
- (b) making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing protective cases that
 practice the trade dress alleged in paragraphs 9-10 of the Complaint.
- 20 6. Rubicon represents and warrants that it ceased selling and marketing the Phantom
 21 product referenced in paragraphs 26-27 of the Complaint as of October 23, 2016.

7. The Court retains jurisdiction to enforce the terms of this Judgment. Nonetheless,
Tech21 will not ask the Court to enforce this Consent Judgment or grant redress for any alleged
breach thereof unless and until Rubicon fails to remedy such breach within seven business days
after Tech21 e-mails a notice of breach to Rubicon (*rubiconcellular@gmail.com*) and its litigation
counsel, Joel Leeman (*jleeman@sunsteinlaw.com*).

8. This Consent Judgment shall not be construed as an admission of liability
notwithstanding the injunctive relief set forth above.

1	9. This Consent Judgment represents a final resolution of this action. The entire action		
2	shall be dismissed, and the clerk shall close the case.		
3			
4	CONSENTS, THR	OUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD	
5			
6	Dated: January 11, 2017	By: <u>/s/ Vijay K. Toke</u>	
7		Vijay K. Toke COBALT LLP	
8			
9		David B. Owsley II (to be admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) STITES & HARBISON PLLC	
10		Attorneys for Plaintiffs TECH 21 UK LIMITED and	
11		TECH 21 LICENSING LIMITED	
12			
13	Dated: January 11, 2017	By: <u>/s/ Bruce D. Sunstein</u>	
14		Bruce D. Sunstein SUNSTEIN KANN MURPHY & TIMBERS LLP	
15 16		Attorneys for Defendant RUBICON VENTURES	
17			
18	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
19			
20	DATED: January 12, 2017	Jons. Trian	
21		Hon. Jon S. Tigar	
22		United States District Court Judge	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		3	
	CONSENT JUDGMENT		