s et a	v. Astrazeneca Pharmaceuticais LP et al	
1	TIMOTHY M. CLARK (Bar No. 284447)	
2	tclark@thesandersfirm.com LAUREN A. WELLING (Bar No. 291813)	
3	lwelling@thesandersfirm.com SANDERS PHILLIPS GROSSMAN, LLC	
4	2860 Michelle Drive, Suite 220 Irvine, CA 92606	
5	Telephone: +1 877 480 9142 Facsimile: +1 213 330 0346	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
7	DONALD F. ZIMMER, JR. (Bar No. 112279) fzimmer@kslaw.com	
8	WILLIAM E. STEIMLE (Bar No. 203426) wsteimle@kslaw.com	
9	KING & SPALDING LLP 101 Second Street, Suite 2300	
10	San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: +1 415 318 1200	
11	Facsimile: +1 415 318 1300	
12	Attorneys for Defendants BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY,	
13	ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS LP, and MCKESSON CORPORATION	
14	IN THE UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
15	FOR THE NORTHERN D	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16		
17	CAROLYN WILLIAMS,	Case No.: 3:16-CV-07152-JST
18	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE HEARING OF
19	V.	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO DISMISS
20	ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS LP, et al.,	AND TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE PURSUANT TO N.D. CAL. CIV. L.R. 6-1
21	Defendants.	
22		Judge: Honorable Jon S. Tigar
23	AND RELATED ACTION:	
24	Michael Martin v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, et al.,	
25	Case No. 4:17-cv-00661-JST	
26		
27		
28	CTIDUL ATION AND IDDODOGEDLOBDED TO	CONTINUE HEADING OF DUAINTER'S MOTION
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE HEARING OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO DISMISS AND TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE PURSUANT TO N.D. CAL. CIV. L.R. 6-1 - 3:16-CV-07152-JST	

WHEREAS, on August 18, 2017, Defendants Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, 1 AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, and McKesson Corporation ("Defendants") filed a motion 2 3 seeking a determination of whether subject matter jurisdiction exists in these related cases, which 4 was originally scheduled for hearing on September 28, 2017, at 2:00 p.m. [Doc. 74]; 5 WHEREAS, on September 1, 2017, Plaintiffs filed a motion to dismiss McKesson 6 Corporation as a defendant in these cases, which motion is scheduled to be heard on October 12, 7 2017, at 2:00 p.m. [Doc. 81]; 8 WHEREAS, a case management conference had previously been scheduled to occur on 9 September 20, 2017; 10 WHEREAS, the parties submitted a stipulation and proposed order seeking to have the 11 above two motions and the case management conference heard on September 28, 2017 [Doc. 12 86]; 13 WHEREAS, the Court denied the request to have the motions and case management 14 conference heard on September 28, 2017, and instead ordered that the case management 15 conference be continued to October 12, 2017, and the subject matter jurisdiction motion be 16 continued to November 9, 2017 [Doc. 88]; 17 WHEREAS, counsel for Defendants is unavailable from October 12, 2017, through 18 October 15, 2017, due to a prepaid, long-planned, and non-refundable vacation; 19 WHEREAS, the parties have consulted the Court's calendar regarding dates on which the 20 Court is unavailable: 21 WHEREAS, the next date that the Court and the parties are available is November 2, 22 2017: 23 NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties stipulate as follows: 24 The October 12, 2017 hearing of Plaintiff's motion to dismiss McKesson Corporation be 25 continued to November 2, 2017. 26 /// 27 /// 28 2 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE HEARING OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO DISMISS AND TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE PURSUANT TO N.D. CAL. CIV. L.R. 6-1

1	1 The case management conference sche	duled for October 12, 2017, be continued to	
2	2 November 2, 2017.		
3	3 IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
4	4 DATED: September 27, 2017	SANDERS PHILLIPS GROSSMAN, LLC	
5	5		
6	6		
7	7	By: <u>/s/ Timothy M. Clark</u> Timothy M. Clark	
8	8	Lauren Welling Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
9	9		
10	0 DATED: September 27, 2017	KING & SPALDING LLP	
11	1		
12	2	By: <u>/s/ William E. Steimle</u> Donald F. Zimmer, Jr.	
13	3	William E. Steimle	
14	4	Attorneys for Defendants	
15	5		
16	6 Signature Attestation	n (N.D. Cal. L.R. 5-1(i)(3))	
17	I, William E. Steimle, attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been		
18	8 obtained from each signatory whose ECF user	obtained from each signatory whose ECF user ID and password are not being used in the	
19			
	⁹ electronic filing of this document.		
20	<u> </u>		
20 21	0 ///		
	0 /// 1 ///		
21	0 /// 1 /// 2 ///		
21 22	0 /// 1 /// 2 /// 3 ///		
21 22 23	0 /// 1 /// 2 /// 3 /// 4 ///		
21 22 23 24	0 /// 1 /// 2 /// 3 /// 4 /// 5 ///		
 21 22 23 24 25 	0 /// 1 /// 2 /// 3 /// 4 /// 5 /// 6 ///		
 21 22 23 24 25 26 	0 /// 1 /// 2 /// 3 /// 4 /// 5 /// 6 /// 7 /// 8	3 O CONTINUE HEARING OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION	

1	Having considered Plaintiffs' and Defendants (collectively, the Parties') Stipulation for	
2	Order to Continue the October 12, 2017 hearing of the Plaintiff's motion to dismiss McKesson	
3	Corporation and Continue the October 12, 2017 case management conference, and good cause	
4	appearing, the Parties' Stipulation is GRANTED .	
5 6	The October 12, 2017 hearing of the Plaintiff's motion to dismiss McKesson Corporation continued November 1 is advanced to November 2, 2017.	
7	November 1 The October 12, 2017 case management conference is continued to November 2, 2017.	
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
9		
10		
11	DATED: <u>September 28, 2017</u>	
12	HONORABLE JON & TIGAR United States District Judge	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE HEARING OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO DISMISS AND TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE PURSUANT TO N.D. CAL. CIV. L.R. 6-1	