

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MONTEVILLE SLOAN, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
GENERAL MOTORS LLC,  
Defendant.

Case No. 16-cv-07244-EMC

**ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS'  
UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR LEAVE  
TO FILE MOTION FOR PARTIAL  
RECONSIDERATION**

Docket No. 101

Plaintiffs request leave to file a motion for reconsideration because of an apparent scrivener’s error in the Court’s order regarding Defendant General Motors’ motion to dismiss. *See* Docket No. 99. In particular, the Court’s order stated that it had dismissed Count 92, Ohio Plaintiffs Gulling and Jones’ claim for “implied warranty in tort” under Ohio law. *Id.* at 45. In fact, the Court intended to dismiss Plaintiffs Gulling and Jones’ claims under the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act, Count 1, to the extent they were premised on a claim for “implied warranty in tort,” raised separately as Count 92. For that reason, Count 92 remains in the case. Plaintiffs’ request for clarification, unopposed by GM, is **GRANTED**; Plaintiffs need not file a motion for reconsideration.

This order disposes of Docket No. 101.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: March 5, 2018

  
EDWARD M. CHEN  
United States District Judge