

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AUDREY BISHOP, *et al.*,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
CORDIS CORPORATION,  
Defendant.

No. C 16-07272 WHA


**ORDER CONTINUING CASE  
MANAGEMENT DEADLINES**

The Court has considered defendant’s unopposed motion to continue case management deadlines (Dkt. No. 17). The case management conference in this matter is continued from April 6 to the **FOURTH THURSDAY** after our court of appeals decides *Dunson v. Cordis*, Case No. 17-15257. The case management statement is due **SEVEN DAYS** before the case management conference. Defendants shall answer or otherwise respond to the complaint under FRCP 12 at least **FOURTEEN DAYS** before the case management conference.

If *Dunson* has not been decided by **JULY 6**, then by **NOON** on that date, both sides shall submit a joint status report on the progress of the appeal.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: March 22, 2017.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE