

1 James A. Lassart - 40913
 JLassart@mpbf.com
 2 Nicholas Larson - 275870
 NLarson@mpbf.com
 3 MURPHY, PEARSON, BRADLEY & FEENEY
 88 Kearny Street, 10th Floor
 4 San Francisco, CA 94108-5530
 Telephone: (415) 788-1900
 5 Facsimile: (415) 393-8087

6 Attorneys for Defendant and Counter-Claimant
 UNITED SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY

7
 8
 9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 10 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11 NAUTILUS INSURANCE COMPANY, an
 12 Arizona corporation,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 SIDECAR TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a
 Delaware corporation, SIDE.CR LLC, a
 16 Delaware limited liability company, UNITED
 SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, a
 17 Delaware corporation,

18 Defendants.

19 AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS

Case No.: 17-cv-00101-~~JCS~~ JST

**STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL AND
~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER**

1 WHEREAS, Plaintiff NAUTILUS INSURANCE COMPANY, an Arizona corporation,
2 (“Nautilus”) filed its Complaint for Declaratory Relief, Reimbursement, Equitable Indemnity and
3 Equitable Subrogation, on January 9, 2017;

4 WHEREAS, Defendant UNITED SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, a Delaware
5 corporation, (“United”) filed a counterclaim against Nautilus for Declaratory Relief and damages, on
6 April 20, 2017.

7 WHEREAS, Nautilus and United settled this matter on or about April 3, 2018 and each party
8 agreed to cover their own fees and costs;

9 THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Nautilus and United through their
10 designated counsel that the above-captioned action should be dismissed with prejudice as to all parties
11 pursuant to FRCP 41(a)(2).

12 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

13
14 Dated: April 10, 2018

SELMAN BREITMAN LLP

15
16 By: /s/ NATHANIEL BRAUN

Nathaniel Braun
Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant
Nautilus Insurance Company

17
18
19
20 Dated: April 10, 2018

MURPHY PEARSON BRADLEY & FEENEY

21
22 By: /s/ JAMES A. LASSART

James A. Lassart
Nicholas C. Larson
Attorneys for Defendant and Counter-Claimant
United Specialty Insurance Company

1 The Court having considered the stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing therefor, orders
2 as follows:

3 1. The action is dismissed with prejudice as against Defendant and Cross-Defendant pursuant to
4 FRCP 41(a)(2).

5 2. Each party shall bear their own costs and attorneys' fees.

6 3. The Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter to enforce the terms of the April 3, 2018,
7 Settlement Agreement.

8
9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: April 10, 2018



Honorable Jon S. Tigar
United States Magistrate District Judge
Northern District of California