1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Michael T. Jones (SBN 290660) mjones@goodwinlaw.com Lloyd Winawer (SBN 157823) lwinawer@goodwinlaw.com Nicholas A. Reider (SBN 296440) nreider@goodwinlaw.com GOODWIN PROCTER LLP 135 Commonwealth Drive Menlo Park, CA 94025-1105 Tel.: (650) 752-3100 Fax: (650) 853-1038 Attorneys for Defendants Anthera Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Paul F. Truex,		
8 9	Craig Thompson, May Liu, and William Shanahan		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13	BRIAN CLEVLEN, individually and on behalf	Case No.: 3:17-cv-00715-RS	
14	of all others similarly situated,		
15	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE INITIAL CMC, RESET	
16	v.	RELATED DEADLINES AND EXTEND DEFENDANTS' TIME TO ANSWER OR	
17	ANTHERA PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,	OTHERWISE RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT	
18	PAUL F. TRUEX, CRAIG THOMPSON, MAY LIU and WILLIAM SHANAHAN,		
19	Defendants.	Judge: Hon. Richard Seeborg Courtroom: 3—17 th Floor	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

STIP AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE INITIAL CMC, RESET RELATED DEADLINES AND EXTEND DEFENDANTS' TIME TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT Case No.: 3:17-cy-00715 PS Case

27

28

Defendants Anthera Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ("Anthera"), Paul F. Truex, Craig Thompson, May Liu, and William Shanahan (collectively, the "Defendants") and Plaintiff Brian Clevlen, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated ("Plaintiff" and, together with Defendants, the "Parties"), through their respective undersigned counsel, HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

WHEREAS, on February 13, 2017, Plaintiff initiated this action by filing a Class Action Complaint for Violations of Federal Securities Laws (the "Complaint") in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, purportedly brought on behalf of all persons who purchased Anthera common stock between February 10, 2015 and December 27, 2016;

WHEREAS, the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the "PSLRA") sets forth mandatory, comprehensive, and specific procedures governing the selection of a lead plaintiff to oversee class actions brought under the federal securities laws. See 15 U.S.C. §§ 78u-4, et seq.

WHEREAS, the PSLRA requires that notice of the commencement of an action be given to permit other putative class members (who may seek to serve as lead plaintiff on behalf of the class) the opportunity to file motions: (a) to be appointed lead plaintiff to oversee and direct the prosecution of the action; and (b) to consolidate other complaints which may be filed arising from the same nexus of operative facts. See 15 U.S.C. §§ 78u-4(a)(3)(A) & (B). Under the PSLRA, this notice must be given within twenty (20) days after the filing of the securities fraud class action, and prospective lead plaintiffs are given sixty (60) days from the publication of notice to move for appointment as lead plaintiff. 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(3)(A)(i). Thereafter, the Court may rule upon the competing motions, applying the statutory framework in 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(3)(B)(iii)(I).

WHEREAS, Plaintiff anticipates after the appointment of the lead plaintiff, lead plaintiff will file a consolidated amended complaint;

WHEREAS, in effect, this action cannot be prosecuted against any defendant until this Court first selects a lead plaintiff and lead counsel to represent the putative class;

WHEREAS, it would be more efficient to extend the time for Defendants to answer or

otherwise respond to the Complaint in the action until after the Court's appointment of a lead plaintiff and lead plaintiff's designation of an operative complaint or filing of an amended complaint;

WHEREAS, to avoid causing Plaintiff to incur the costs and delay associated with serving Defendants Paul F. Truex, Craig Thompson, May Liu, and William Shanahan (collectively, the "Individual Defendants"), counsel for Defendants has agreed to accept service of the Complaint on the Individual Defendants' behalf:

WHEREAS, the parties believe that, because the PSLRA stays all discovery, including initial disclosures, pending the disposition of motions to dismiss in securities actions such as this one, it is appropriate to defer the initial case management conference and the completion of initial disclosures until the lead plaintiff has been appointed, the lead plaintiff's selection of lead counsel has been approved, the lead plaintiff has filed a consolidated amended complaint, Defendants have had the opportunity to file any motion to dismiss, and the Court has ruled on Defendants' anticipated motion to dismiss. *See, e.g., Medhekar v. United States Dist. Court*, 99 F.3d 325, 328-29 (9th Cir. 1996) (holding F.R.C.P. 26(a)'s initial disclosure requirements are disclosures or other proceedings for purposes of PSLRA's stay provision, and must be stayed pending disposition of motion to dismiss).

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the Parties, through their respective counsel of record, that:

- 1. Defendants need not answer, move or otherwise respond to the Complaint in this action until a date to be set following the appointment of a lead plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(3)(B) and the filing by such lead plaintiff of an amended complaint.
- 2. The Initial Case Management Conference shall be held thirty (30) days after an order directing Defendants to file an answer (if any), or as soon as possible thereafter consistent with the Court's schedule.
- 3. This Stipulation is entered into without prejudice to any party seeking any interim relief.

1	4. Nothing in this Stipulation shall be construed as a waiver of any of		
2	Defendants' rights or positions in law or equity, or as a waiver of any defenses that		
3	Defendants would otherwise have, including, without limitation, jurisdictional defenses.		
4	5. The Parties have not sought any other extensions of time in this action.		
5	6. The Parties do not seek to reset these dates for the purpose of delay, and		
6	the proposed new dates will not have an effect on any pre-trial and trial dates as the Court has ye		
7	to schedule these dates.		
8	WHEREFORE, the Parties respectfully request that this Court issue an order granting the		
9	Parties' request to reset the Initial Case Management Conference and related deadlines as set forth		
10	herein.		
11	IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.		
12			
13	DATED: March 8, 2017 GOODWIN PROCTER LLP		
14			
15	/s/ Michael T. Jones		
16			
17	Michael T. Jones (SBN 290660) mjones@goodwinlaw.com		
18	Lloyd Winawer (SBN 157823) lwinawer@goodwinlaw.com		
19	Nicholas A. Reider (SBN 296440) nreider@goodwinlaw.com		
20	GOODWIN PROCTER LLP 135 Commonwealth Drive		
21	Menlo Park, CA 94025-1105 Tel.: (650) 752-3100		
22	Fax: (650) 853-1038		
23	Counsel for Defendants Anthera		
24	Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Paul F. Truex, Craig Thompson, May Liu, and William Shanahan		
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	DATED: March 8, 2017	LEVI & KORSINSKY LLP
2		
3		/ /D
4		/s/ Rosemary M. Rivas
5		Rosemary M. Rivas
6		44 Montgomery Street, Suite 650 San Francisco, CA 94104
7		Tel.: (415) 291-2420 Fax: (415) 484-1294
8		Shannon L. Hopkins (to be admitted pro hac
9		vice) shopkins@zlk.com
10		Stephanie A. Bartone (to be admitted pro hac vice)
11		sbartone@zlk.com
12		733 Summer Street, Suite 304 Stamford, CT 06901
13		Tel: (203) 992-4523 Fax: (212) 363-7171
14		Counsel for Plaintiffs Brian Clevlen, individually
15		and on behalf of all others similarly situated
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		4

* * *

ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: March 9, 2017

THE HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE