Dod. 114

Dockets.Justia.com

Lagree Technologies Inc. et al v. Spartacus 20th L.P. et al

Gordon & Rees LLP 101 W. Broadway Suite 2000 San Diego, CA 92101	1	SPARTACUS 20TH LP., SPARTACUS 20TH)
	2	G.P., INC., PHILIP R. PALUMBO, JAKOB
		IRION, BODYROK FRANCHISE, L.P., BODYROK FRANCHISE G.P., INC., EXERCISE)
	3	TECHNOLOGIES, L.P., BODYROK MARINA,) L.P., SCULPT FITNESS BERKELEY, LLC, and)
	4	SPARTACUS LOMBARD, L.P.
	5	Counter-Plaintiffs,)
	6	vs.)
	7	LAGREE TECHNOLOGIES, INC., LAGREE) FITNESS, INC., MAXIMUM FITNESS)
	8	INCORPORATED, and SEBASTIEN LAGREE, and SPX FITNESS, INC., and ROES 1 through 10,
	9	inclusive,
	10	Counter-Defendants.)
	11	
	12)
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
	20	

PARTIES' STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO FILE SUR-REPLY TO MOTION TO DISMISS PATENTS / COUNTERCLAIMS

3:17-cv-00795-JST

Gordon & Rees LLP 101 W. Broadway Suite 2000 San Diego, CA 92101

TO THE HONORABLE JON S. TIGAR AND THE CLERK OF THE COURT:

Pursuant to Rule 6-1(b) of the Civil Local Rules (L.R.), Plaintiffs and Counter-Defendants LAGREE TECHNOLOGIES, INC., LAGREE FITNESS, INC., MAXIMUM FITNESS INCORPORATED, SEBASTIEN LAGREE, and SPX FITNESS, INC. ("Plaintiffs"), and Defendants and Counter-Plaintiffs SPARTACUS 20TH L.P., SPARTACUS 20TH G.P., INC., PHILIP P. PALUMBO, JAKOB IRION, BODYROK FRANCHISE, L.P., BODYROK FRANCHISE G.P., INC., EXERCISE TECHNOLOGIES, L.P., BODYROK MARINA, L.P., SCULPT FITNESS BERKELEY, LLC, and SPARTACUS LOMBARD, L.P. ("Defendants") (hereinafter collectively the "Parties"), stipulate as follows:

WHEREAS, Defendants intend to file a motion for administrative relief pursuant to Local Rule 7-11, requesting leave to file a sur-reply in response to Plaintiffs' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Patent Infringement Claims and Related Counterclaims Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(2) and 12(b)(1) (Dkt. No. 79) ("Motion to Dismiss") and Plaintiffs' Reply (Dkt No. 104) which contained a declaration and Exhibit, namely a covenant not to sue signed on September 8, 2017 (Dkt No. 104-1).

WHEREAS, counsel for the Parties have met and conferred in an attempt to reach an agreement on the terms of a potential stipulated dismissal.

WHEREAS, the parties wish to continue meeting and conferring regarding the terms of a potential stipulated dismissal.

WHEREAS, on September 8, 2017, the Court continued the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss to November 2, 2017 (Dkt. No. 103).

WHEREAS, based on the foregoing, the Parties met and conferred and stipulated to a one-week extension of time for Defendants to file their motion for administrative relief for leave to file a sur-reply, up to and including September 22, 2107, which would give the Parties sufficient time to discuss mutually-agreeable terms to a stipulated dismissal.

WHEREAS, the Parties have stipulated to three previous time modifications for Plaintiffs to file their reply to the Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. Nos. 85, 96 and 99).

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs were also granted one additional modification for Plaintiffs to file

	1	their reply to the Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. No. 94).				
Gordon & Rees LLP 101 W. Broadway Suite 2000 San Diego, CA 92101	2	WHEREAS, the Parties have stipulated to four previous time modifications in connection				
	3	with responses to the Parties' respective pleadings on April 11, 2017 (Dkt. No. 35) and May 18,				
	4	2017 (Dkt. No. 51), June 14, 2017 (Dkt. No. 68), and July 20, 2017 (Dkt. 82).				
	5	WHEREAS, this stipulation will not alter the date of any event or any deadline already				
	6	fixed by the Court order, and could resolve one of the issues before the Court's November 2,				
	7	2017 hearing.				
	8	NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties, by and through their respective counsel, hereby				
	9	stipulate and agree that Defendants' motion for administrative relief to file a sur-reply to the				
	10	Motion to Dismiss shall be filed no later than September 22, 2017.				
	11					
	12	DATED: September 15, 2017	GOR	DON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP		
	13		By:	/s/ Robert P. Andris		
	14		J	Robert P. Andris Michael D. Kanach		
	15			Attorneys for Defendants, SPARTACUS 20TH LP.;		
	16			SPARTACUS 20TH G.P., INC.; PHILIP R. PALUMBO;		
	17			JAKOB IRION; BODYROK FRANCHISE, L.P.; BODYROK FRANCHISE G.P., INC.; EXERCISE TECHNOLOGIES, L.P.; BODYROK MARINA, L.P.; SCULPT FITNESS BERKELEY, LLC;		
	18					
	19					
	20			SPARTACUS LOMBARD, L.P.		
	21	DATED: September 15, 2017	NEU	STEL LAW OFFICES, LTD		
	22 23					
	23		By:	/s/ Chad E. Ziegler Chad E. Ziegler (Pro Hac Vice)		
	25			Edward K. Runyan (Pro Hac Vice) Michelle G. Breit		
	26			Attorneys for Plaintiffs, LAGREE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; LAGREE FITNESS, INC.; MAXIMUM FITNESS INCORPORATED; SEBASTIEN LAGREE;		
	27					
	28			SPX FITNESS, INC.		

101 W. Broadway Suite 2000 Gordon & Rees LLP San Diego, CA 92101

${\bf PURSUANT\ TO\ STIPULATION, IT\ IS\ SO\ ORDERED.}$

DATED: September 20, 2017

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE Honorable Jon S. Tigar

-3-