Continue Case Management Conference; Case No.: 17-cv-00851 EDL

issues the Court will need to resolve, and the schedule proposed aligns the schedule proposed for this action with the schedule proposed in the Related Case, (Id. \P 4);

WHEREAS, the parties, in the interest of judicial economy and efficiency, wish to avoid the unnecessary expenditure of judicial resources and costs associated with the parties' appearance at the currently scheduled Initial CMC, before the parties have had an opportunity meaningfully to evaluate and address any issues raised by Defendants' response to the Complaint (Id. ¶ 5); and

WHEREAS, neither party has previously sought any time modification in the case, whether by stipulation or Court order, and, other than the parties' request that the Court continue the currently scheduled Initial CMC, the requested time modifications will have no effect on the schedule for the case (Id. \P 6);

NOW THEREFOR, the parties hereby stipulate and agree that:

- (1) Defendants shall have an extension of time, up to and including, **May 19, 2017**, in which to answer, move, or otherwise respond to the Complaint; and
- (2) Defendants shall notice any and all responsive motion(s) for hearing on July 25,2017, or the first available date thereafter, convenient to the Court on which the Court may hear any such motion(s); and
- (3) Plaintiffs shall file their opposition to any responsive motion(s) filed by Defendants on or before **June 16, 2017**; and
- (4) Defendants shall file their reply in support of any responsive motion on or before July 7, 2017

The Parties FURTHER STIPULATE AND AGREE and respectfully request that the Court continue the currently scheduled Initial CMC to **July 25, 2017,** to coincide with the hearing on any responsive motion(s) filed by Defendants, or the first date that is convenient for the Court thereafter.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

1	DATED: April 4, 2017	STEARNS WEAVER MILLER WEISSLER ALHADEFF & SITTERSON PA
2		
3 4		/s/ Jason P. Hernandez
5		Eugene E. Stearns Jason P. Hernandez
6		Jason 1. Hernandez
7		TAYLOR & PATCHEN, LLP Jonathan A. Patchen
8		Max Baba Twine
9		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
10	DATED: April 4, 2017	SEYFARTH SHAW LLP
11		
12		ByGiovanna A. Ferrari
13		Gregory A. Markel
14		Heather E. Murray
15	Attorneys for Defendants Filer's attestation: Pursuant to Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3) regarding signatures, Aaron Belzer hereby attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories.	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	4	

1 PROPOSED ORDER 2 Having reviewed the Stipulation of the parties and their attorneys of record, and good 3 cause appearing therefor: 4 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, pursuant to the parties' stipulation: 5 Defendants shall have an extension of time, up to and including, May 19, 2017, in (1) 6 which to answer, move, or otherwise respond to the Complaint; and (2) Defendants shall notice any and all responsive motion(s) for hearing on July 25, 8 2017, or the first available date thereafter on which the Court may hear any such 9 motion; and 10 (3) Plaintiffs shall file their opposition to any responsive motion(s) filed by 11 Defendants on or before June 16, 2017; and 12 (4) Defendants shall file their reply in support of any responsive motion(s) on or 13 before July 7, 2017; and 14 The Initial Case Management Conference currently set for May 23, 2017 shall be (5) 15 continued to July 25, 2017. 16 IT IS SO ORDERED 17 DATED: April 5 18 19 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 38353828v.3 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28