

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DUKE PARTNERS II, LLC,

No. C 17-00936 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.


ARNOLDO G. CASILLAS and IRMA V.
JASSO,**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE**Defendants.
_____ /

On March 14, plaintiff in this unlawful detainer action filed a motion to remand (Dkt. No. 6). The opposition was due by March 28. On March 27, the action was reassigned to the undersigned judge. The reassignment order stated, "Motions must be noticed for hearing before the judge to whom the case has been reassigned, but the noticing of the hearing *does not affect the prior briefing schedule*" (Dkt. No. 10 (emphasis added)).

As of this order, defendants have neither opposed the motion to remand nor sought an extension of time to file their opposition. Defendants are ordered to **SHOW CAUSE** in writing by **APRIL 14 AT NOON** why the motion should not be granted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 6, 2017.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE