

1  
2  
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
5

6 WAYMO LLC,  
7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al.,  
10 Defendants.  
11

Case No.17-cv-00939-WHA (JSC)

**ORDER RE: ADMINISTRATIVE  
MOTION TO SEAL**

Re: Dkt. No. 1377

12 Defendant Otto Trucking's Administrative Motion to File Under Seal Portions of Its  
13 Opposition to Motions to Quash and Exhibits is now ripe for review. (Dkt. Nos. 1377.) Plaintiff  
14 has a submitted a Declaration in support of sealing, narrowing the portions it requests to be sealed.  
15 (Dkt. No. 1433.) The Court has carefully considered the narrowed redactions and the motion is  
16 GRANTED in part and DENIED in part. The motion is DENIED as to the redactions in the letter  
17 brief itself (Dkt. No. 1433-2) and as to the redactions in Exhibit 10 (Dkt. No. 1433-16) except for  
18 the email addresses, the technical information on the first and sixth pages (*id.* at 2, 7), and the IP  
19 address on the third page (*id.* at 4). This information is not properly sealable as it contains neither  
20 trade secret information nor information otherwise subject to sealing. Plaintiff has, however,  
21 established good cause for the remainder of the proposed redactions and the administrative  
22 motion to seal is otherwise GRANTED.

23 This Order disposes of Docket No. 1377.

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25 Dated: September 1, 2017  
26  
27



28 JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY  
United States Magistrate Judge