1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JOSE REGALADO,	CASE NO.: 2:17-cv-00096-KJM-EFB
12	Plaintiffs,	
13	v.	
14	CITY OF SALINAS, a municipal	ORDER
15	corporation; STEPHEN CRAIG, individually and in his capacity as an	
16	officer for the City of Salinas Police Department; CARLO CALUPAD, individually and in his capacity as an	
17	individually and in his capacity as an officer for the City of Salinas Police Department; CAMERON MURPHY,	
18	individually and in his capacity as an officer for the City of Salinas Police	
19	Department; and DOES 1-50, inclusive, individually, jointly and severally,	
20	Defendants.	
21	Derendants.	
22		
23	On January 17, 2017, plaintiff	Jose Regalado filed this case in the Eastern District
24	of California. Compl., ECF No. 1. Regalado	now moves to transfer this case to the Northern
25	District of California, explaining that the case	e was inadvertently filed in this court. Mot., ECF
26	No. 5. As Regalado explains, defendant City	of Salinas and Regalado are both located in the
27	Northern District. Id. at $1-2$. In addition, no substantial part of the events part of the events or	
28	omissions giving rise to Regalado's claims of	ccurred in this District. Id. at 2; see generally
		1

1	Compl. Regalado's motion was filed before City of Salinas answered the complaint or otherwise
2	appeared in this court.

3	Because no party to the action is located in the Eastern District, and because no
4	substantial part of the events giving rise to the complaint occurred in the Eastern District, the
5	court finds venue is improper in this District. See 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e)(1)(A)–(C). The court
6	finds it is in the interest of justice to transfer this case to the district where all parties in this action
7	appear to be located. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); see also 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) (permitting transfer
8	for the convenience of the parties). Accordingly, the court GRANTS the motion. This case is
9	transferred to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.
10	This order resolves ECF No. 5.
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.
12	DATED: February 28, 2017
13	100
14	Mulle
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2