7       CITY OF PITTSBURG         9       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT         10       NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA         11       ALONZO PARKER,       Case No. 3:17-ev-01563-LB         12       Plaintiff,       IPROPOSED ORDER OF DISMISSAL OF ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE         13       v.       IPROPOSED ORDER OF DISMISSAL OF ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE         14       CITY OF PITTSBURG, PITTSBURG POLICE       IPROPOSED ORDER OF DISMISSAL OF ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE         16       Defendants.       Hon. Laurel Beeler         17       On July 13, 2017, the court granted the City of Pittsburg's motion to dismiss the complaint for         19       failure to state a claim under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6). (ECF No. 24.) The court gave         20       Mr. Parker 28 days to file an amended complaint, but he did not do so. On August 25, 2017, the court         21       further extended Mr. Parker's time to file an amended complaint to Tuesday, September 12, 2017, but he         21       did not do so. (ECF No. 25.) Accordingly, the court hereby dismisses this case without prejudice.         23       IT IS SO ORDERED.         24       Dated: September 21, 2017       Laurel Beeler         23       Dated: September 21, 2017       Laurel Beeler         24       Dated: September 21, 2017       Laurel Beeler         27<	1 2 3 4 5 6	Eugene B. Elliot, State Bar No. 111475 Nicole L. Phillips, State Bar No. 306686 BERTRAND, FOX, ELLIOT, OSMAN & WENZEL The Waterfront Building 2749 Hyde Street San Francisco, California 94109 Telephone: (415) 353-0999 Facsimile: (415) 353-0990 Attorneys for Defendant		
Image: Instance of the construction		•		
11       ALONZO PARKER,       Case No. 3:17-cv-01563-LB         12       Plaintiff,       PROPOSED ORDER OF DISMISSAL OF         13       v.       CTTY OF PITTSBURG, PITTSBURG POLICE         15       Defendants.       Hon. Laurel Beeler         16       Defendants.       Hon. Laurel Beeler         18       On July 13, 2017, the court granted the City of Pittsburg's motion to dismiss the complaint for         19       failure to state a claim under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6). (ECF No. 24.) The court gave         20       Mr. Parker 28 days to file an amended complaint, but he did not do so. On August 25, 2017, the court         21       further extended Mr. Parker's time to file an amended complaint to Tuesday, September 12, 2017, but he         23       IT IS SO ORDERED.         24       Dated: September 21, 2017         27       Laurel Beeler         28       UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE         29       Image: September 21, 2017         20       Eavend 1550.0000000000000000000000000000000000	9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12       Plaintiff,       FROPOSED ORDER OF DISMISSAL OF         13       v.       CITY OF PITTSBURG, PITTSBURG POLICE         14       CITY OF PITTSBURG, PITTSBURG POLICE       Hon. Laurel Beeler         16       Defendants.       Hon. Laurel Beeler         18       On July 13, 2017, the court granted the City of Pittsburg's motion to dismiss the complaint for         19       failure to state a claim under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6). (ECF No. 24.) The court gave         20       Mr. Parker 28 days to file an amended complaint, but he did not do so. On August 25, 2017, the court         21       further extended Mr. Parker's time to file an amended complaint to Tuesday, September 12, 2017, but he         23       IT IS SO ORDERED.         24       Dated: September 21, 2017         26       Laurel Beeler         27       UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE         28       IPROPOSEDI ORDER OF DISMISSAL OF ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE         28       IPROPOSEDI ORDER OF DISMISSAL OF ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE	10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13       v.         14       CITY OF PITTSBURG, PITTSBURG POLICE         15       Defendants.         16       Defendants.         17       Hon. Laurel Beeler         18       On July 13, 2017, the court granted the City of Pittsburg's motion to dismiss the complaint for         19       failure to state a claim under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6). (ECF No. 24.) The court gave         20       Mr. Parker 28 days to file an amended complaint, but he did not do so. On August 25, 2017, the court         21       further extended Mr. Parker's time to file an amended complaint to Tuesday, September 12, 2017, but he         21       did not do so. (ECF No. 25.) Accordingly, the court hereby dismisses this case without prejudice.         23       IT IS SO ORDERED.         24       Dated: September 21, 2017         26       Laurel Beeler         27       UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE         28       Image: September 21, 2017         28       Image: September 21, 2017         29       Image: September 21, 2017         20       Image: September 21, 2017         29       Image: September 21, 2017         20       Image: September 21, 2017         21       Image: September 21, 2017         22       Image: September 21, 2017	11	ALONZO PARKER,	Case No. 3:17-cv-01563-LB	
14       v.         15       CITY OF PITTSBURG, PITTSBURG POLICE DEPARTMENT, and DOES 1 through 20,         16       Defendants.         17       Hon. Laurel Beeler         18       On July 13, 2017, the court granted the City of Pittsburg's motion to dismiss the complaint for         19       failure to state a claim under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6). (ECF No. 24.) The court gave         20       Mr. Parker 28 days to file an amended complaint, but he did not do so. On August 25, 2017, the court         21       further extended Mr. Parker's time to file an amended complaint to Tuesday, September 12, 2017, but he         21       did not do so. (ECF No. 25.) Accordingly, the court hereby dismisses this case without prejudice.         23       IT IS SO ORDERED.         24       Dated: September 21, 2017         27       Laurel Beeler         28       IT IS SO ORDERED.         29       IT IS SO ORDERED.         20       Dated: September 21, 2017         28       IT IS SO ORDER OF DISMISSAL OF ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE         29       IT POPOSED ORDER OF DISMISSAL OF ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE         29       It PROPOSED ORDER OF DISMISSAL OF ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE		Plaintiff,		
15       CITY OF PITTSBURG, PITTSBURG POLICE DEPARTMENT, and DOES 1 through 20,         16       Defendants.         17       Hon. Laurel Beeler         18       On July 13, 2017, the court granted the City of Pittsburg's motion to dismiss the complaint for         19       failure to state a claim under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6). (ECF No. 24.) The court gave         20       Mr. Parker 28 days to file an amended complaint, but he did not do so. On August 25, 2017, the court         21       further extended Mr. Parker's time to file an amended complaint to Tuesday, September 12, 2017, but he         21       did not do so. (ECF No. 25.) Accordingly, the court hereby dismisses this case without prejudice.         23       IT IS SO ORDERED.         24       Dated: September 21, 2017         25       Dated: September 21, 2017         26       Laurel Beeler UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE         27       Image: Compose Dismissal of ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE         28       Image: Compose Dismissal of ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE		v.		
Image: Instant Processing State       Hon. Laurel Beeler         Image: Imag		,		
18       On July 13, 2017, the court granted the City of Pittsburg's motion to dismiss the complaint for         19       failure to state a claim under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6). (ECF No. 24.) The court gave         20       Mr. Parker 28 days to file an amended complaint, but he did not do so. On August 25, 2017, the court         21       further extended Mr. Parker's time to file an amended complaint to Tuesday, September 12, 2017, but he         21       did not do so. (ECF No. 25.) Accordingly, the court hereby dismisses this case without prejudice.         23       IT IS SO ORDERED.         24       Dated: September 21, 2017         26       Laurel Beeler         27       UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE         28       1         29       IPROPOSED] ORDER OF DISMISSAL OF ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE		Defendants.	Hon. Laurel Beeler	
19       failure to state a claim under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6). (ECF No. 24.) The court gave         20       Mr. Parker 28 days to file an amended complaint, but he did not do so. On August 25, 2017, the court         21       further extended Mr. Parker's time to file an amended complaint to Tuesday, September 12, 2017, but he         22       did not do so. (ECF No. 25.) Accordingly, the court hereby dismisses this case without prejudice.         23       IT IS SO ORDERED.         24       Dated: September 21, 2017         26       Laurel Beeler         27       UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE         28       1         19       PROPOSED] ORDER OF DISMISSAL OF ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE         28       1		On July 13, 2017, the court granted the	City of Ditteburg's motion to dismiss the complaint for	
<ul> <li>Mr. Parker 28 days to file an amended complaint, but he did not do so. On August 25, 2017, the court further extended Mr. Parker's time to file an amended complaint to Tuesday, September 12, 2017, but he did not do so. (ECF No. 25.) Accordingly, the court hereby dismisses this case without prejudice.</li> <li>IT IS SO ORDERED.</li> <li>Dated: September 21, 2017</li> <li>Laurel Beeler UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>further extended Mr. Parker's time to file an amended complaint to Tuesday, September 12, 2017, but he</li> <li>did not do so. (ECF No. 25.) Accordingly, the court hereby dismisses this case without prejudice.</li> <li>IT IS SO ORDERED.</li> <li>Dated: September 21, 2017</li> <li>Dated: September 21, 2017</li> <li>Laurel Beeler</li> <li>UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>did not do so. (ECF No. 25.) Accordingly, the court hereby dismisses this case without prejudice.</li> <li>IT IS SO ORDERED.</li> <li>Dated: September 21, 2017</li> <li>Dated: September 21, 2017</li> <li>Laurel Beeler UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE</li> <li>IPROPOSED] ORDER OF DISMISSAL OF ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE Case No : 3:17-cv-01563-LB</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>IT IS SO ORDERED.</li> <li>Dated: September 21, 2017</li> <li>Laurel Beeler UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE</li> <li>I</li> <li></li></ul>				
24 25 26 27 28				
<ul> <li>25 Dated: September 21, 2017</li> <li>26 Laurel Beeler UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE</li> <li>27</li> <li>28</li> <li>1</li> <li>[PROPOSED] ORDER OF DISMISSAL OF ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE Case No : 3:17-cv-01563-LB</li> </ul>				
26 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 27 28 1 [PROPOSED] ORDER OF DISMISSAL OF ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE Case No : 3:17-cy-01563-LB		Dated: September 21, 2017		
27 28 <u>I</u> [PROPOSED] ORDER OF DISMISSAL OF ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE Case No : 3:17-cv-01563-LB	26			
1 [PROPOSED] ORDER OF DISMISSAL OF ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE Case No : 3:17-cy-01563-LB	27			
Case No 3-17-cy-01563-LB	28			
Case No 3-17-cy-01563-LB			1	
		Case No : 3:17-cy-01563-LB		

Dockets.Justia.com