10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 SELENE ADAMCZYK, et al., Case No. 3:17-cv-01846-WHA 12 Order re: 13 Order re: 14 VS. 15 BAYER CORP.; BAYER HEALTHCARE SANGIMINO, et al. v. BAYER CORP., 16 CONCEPTUS, INC.); BAYER HEALTHCARE MOTION TO DISMISS IN 17 PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.; and DOES 1-10, et al. 18 Defendants.) 19 Defendants.) 111)	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Alycia A. Degen, SBN 211350 adegen@sidley.com Bradley J. Dugan, SBN 271870 bdugan@sidley.com SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000 Los Angeles, California 90013 Telephone: +1 213 896-6000 Facsimile: +1 213 896-6600 Attorneys for Defendants and Specially Appearing Defendants Bayer Corporation, Bayer Essure Inc., Bayer HealthCare LLC, Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc.	DISTRICT COURT
11 SELENE ADAMCZYK, et al.,) Case No. 3:17-cv-01846-WHA 12 Plaintiffs,) JOINT STIPULATION TO STAY 13 Defendants.) BRIEFING PENDING RULINGS ON 14 vs.) MOTION TO REMAND AND 15 BAYER CORP.; BAYER HEALTHCARE) SANGIMINO, et al. v. BAYER CORP., 16 CONCEPTUS, INC.; BAYER HEALTHCARE) 17 PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.; and DOES 1-10,) 18)) 19 Defendants.) 20)) 21)) 22)) 23)) 24)) 25			
12 SELENE ADAMCZYK, et al.,) Case No. 3:17-cv-01846-WHA 13 Order re: 14 Plaintiffs,) JOINT STIPULATION TO STAY 15 BAYER CORP.; BAYER HEALTHCARE) MOTION TO REMAND AND 16 CONCEPTUS, INC.); BAYER HEALTHCARE) et al. 17 PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,) 19 Defendants.) 20			
13 Plaintiffs,) JOINT STIPULATION TO STAY 14 vs.) BRIEFING PENDING RULINGS ON 15 BAYER CORP.; BAYER HEALTHCARE) MOTION TO DISMISS IN 16 CONCEPTUS, INC., (F/K/A)) et al. 17 PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.; and DOES 1-10,) inclusive,) 18		SELENE ADAMCZYK, et al.,) Case No. 3:17-cv-01846-WHA
14 vs. MOTION TO REMAND AND MOTION TO DISMISS IN 15 BAYER CORP.; BAYER HEALTHCARE LLC; BAYER ESSURE INC., (F/K/A CONCEPTUS, INC.); BAYER HEALTHCARE SANGIMINO, et al. v. BAYER CORP., et al. 17 PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,) 18 0 19 Defendants. 10 Defendants. 11 Defendants. 12 0 13 0 14 0 15 0 16 0 17 PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.; and DOES 1-10, inclusive, 18 0 19 0 10 0 11 0 120 0 121 0 122 0 123 0 124 0 125 0 126 0 127 0 128 0 129 0 120 0 121 0 122 0 123 0 </td <td></td> <td>Plaintiffs,</td> <td>) JOINT STIPULATION TO STAY</td>		Plaintiffs,) JOINT STIPULATION TO STAY
15 BAYER CORP.; BAYER HEALTHCARE) SANGIMINO, et al. v. BAYER CORP., 16 LLC; BAYER ESSURE INC., (F/K/A) et al. 17 PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.; and DOES 1-10,)) 18)) 19 Defendants.) 20)) 21)) 22)) 23)) 24)) 25	14	VS.) MOTION TO REMAND AND
16 CONCEPTUS, INC.); BAYER HEALTHCARE 17 PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.; and DOES 1-10,) 18	15	BAYER CORP.; BAYER HEALTHCARE	,
17 PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.; and DOES 1-10,) inclusive, 18) 19 Defendants. 20) 21) 22) 23) 24) 25	16) <i>et al.</i>
19 Defendants.) 20)) 21)) 22)) 23)) 24)) 25)) 26)) 27)) 28	17	PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.; and DOES 1-10,))
20	18	Defendants.)
21) 22) 23) 24) 25) 26) 27) 28)	19		
22) 23) 24) 25) 26) 27) 28	20)
23 24 25 26 27 28	21)
24 25 26 27 28)
25 26 27 28			
26 27 28			
27 28			
28			
	2ð		
JOINT STIPULATION TO STAY BRIEFING; CASE NO. 3:17-cv-01846-WHA		Order re:	

Plaintiffs Selene Adamczyk, et al., and defendants and specially-appearing defendants Bayer 1 2 Corporation, Bayer Essure Inc., Bayer HealthCare LLC, and Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc. 3 (collectively, "Bayer"), hereby stipulate and agree as follows: 1. Plaintiffs filed their complaint on February 28, 2017, in the Superior Court for the 4 5 State of California, County of Alameda. In their complaint, Plaintiffs assert claims involving the Essure® Permanent Birth Control System (the "Essure® Device"). 6 2. On April 3, 2017, Bayer removed the matter from the Alameda County Superior 7 8 Court to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. [Dkt. No. 1]. 9 3. Bayer filed its Motion to Dismiss on April 10, 2017, on the grounds of federal 10 preemption, among other grounds. [Dkt. No. 17]. The Motion to Dismiss is currently scheduled for hearing on June 8, 2017. 11 4. On April 19, 2017, Plaintiffs filed a motion to remand this action to the Superior 12 13 Court of Alameda County, State of California, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447, on the grounds that this 14 Court lacks jurisdiction over this action. [Dkt. No. 21]. 15 5. On April 7, 2017, this matter was deemed related to another matter pending before 16 this Court involving the Essure® Device, captioned as Elizabeth Ann Sangimino, et al. v. Bayer 17 Corp., et al., Case No. 3:17-cv-01488-WHA. [Dkt. No. 14]. 6. 18 In the *Sangimino* matter, the Court has already set a briefing schedule on Bayer's 19 Motion to Dismiss, which is similar to the Motion to Dismiss filed in this matter, and on Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand, which is similar to the Motion to Remand filed in this matter. The briefing 20 21 schedule on those motions in *Sangimino* is as follows: 22 April 28, 2017: Bayer's deadline to respond to Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand; 23 Plaintiffs' deadline to respond to Bayer's Motion to Dismiss; 24 May 12, 2017: Bayer's deadline to file a reply in support of the Motion to Dismiss; 25 Plaintiffs' deadline to file a reply in support of the Motion to Remand; 26 **June 8, 2017**: Hearing on Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Remand. 7. 27 In light of the close overlap between the issues being briefed in *Sangimino* and those 28 that will be presented to the Court in this matter, the parties have met and conferred and agree that it Order re:

JOINT STIPULATION TO STAY BRIEFING; CASE NO. 3:17-cv-01846-WHA

1	would be in the interest of judicial economy to stay the briefing in this matter pending the Court's	
2	rulings on the Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Remand in Sangimino. The Parties thus respectfully	
3	request and ask the Court to enter an order in this matter staying all briefing on Bayer's Motion to	
4	Dismiss and Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand until such time.	
5		
6	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
7	Dated: April 19, 2017 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP	
8		
9	By: <u>/s/ Alycia A. Degen</u> Alycia A. Degen	
10	Bradley J. Dugan	
11	Attorneys for Defendants and Specially Appearing Defendants	
12	Bayer Corporation, Bayer HealthCare LLC, Bayer Essure Inc., and Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc.	
13		
14	Dated: April 19, 2017 GRANT & EISENHOFER P.A.	
15	By: <u>/s/ <i>M. Elizabeth Graham</i></u> M. Elizabeth Graham	
16	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Selene Adamczyk, et al.	
17	Scienc Adamezyk, et al.	
18	<u>Filer's Attestation</u> : Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), regarding signatures, Alycia A. Degen hereby	
19	attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from counsel for Plaintiffs.	
20	Dated: April 19, 2017 By:/s/ Alycia A. Degen	
21	Alycia A. Degen	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Order re: 2 JOINT STIPULATION TO STAY BRIEFING; CASE NO. 3:17-cv-01846-WHA	
1	JUINT STILULATION TO STAT DIVILITINO, CASE NO. 3.17-07-01040-WIIA	

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER
2	PURSUANT TO THE PARTIES' STIPULATION, and for good cause shown, IT IS
3	ORDERED THAT the briefing on Bayer's Motion to Dismiss and Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand are
4	STAYED pending the Court's rulings on the Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Remand in the
5	related case Sangimino v. Bayer Corp., et al., Case No. 3:17-cv-01488-WHA.
6	
7	Dated: April <u>20</u> , 2017 Henorable William H. Alsup
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	-221132919 1 [PROPOSED] ORDER - CASE NO. 3:17-cv-01846-WHA