In the Matter of Yach	ting Specialties, Inc.		Doc. 48
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	UNITED STATE NORTHERN DIST In the Matter of YACHTING SPECIALTIES, INC. As owner of vessel M/V ASSIST GOLDEN GATE (CF No.) 4515CG), for Exoneration From or)	CS DISTRICT COURT RICT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 3:17-cv-01922-MMC [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLANTIFFS-IN-LIMITATION'S PLANTIFFS FOR ORDER GRANTING	Doc. 48
14 15 16 17 18 19	Limitation of Liability REQUEST FOR ORDER GRANTING THEIR COMPLAINT FOR EXONERATION OR LIMITATION OF LIABILITY Date: December 15, 2017 Time: 9:00 a.m. Location: Location: Judge: Maxine M. Chesney	_	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	vessel M/V ASSIST GOLDEN GATE (CF No granting exoneration from liability came on for December 22, 2017. Also before the Court Request for Order Granting Complaint for November 17, 2017, by Plaintiff-in-Limitation Having read and considered Plaintiff	is the "Stipulation to Plaintiff-in-Limitation's Exoneration or Limitation of Liability," filed ion and claimant Rachel Belle. iff-in-Limitation's Motion and the parties' uitable for decision thereon, VACATES the	er
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS-IN-LIMITATION EXONERATION OR LIMITATION OF LIABILITY Case No. 3:17-cv-1922-MMC; Our File No. 8004.42	N'S REQUEST FOR ORDER GRANTING THEIR COMPLAINT FOR	

	ll		
1	The Court finds that Rachel Belle was the sole claimant who made any claim in respons		
2	to Plaintiff-in-Limitation's Complaint for exoneration from and/or limitation of liability within		
3	the time period set forth by the Court. The Court finds that Rachel Belle's claims against		
4	Plaintiff-in-Limitation have been fully resolved via settlement. Therefore, there are no		
5	remaining legally cognizable claims contesting Plaintiff-in-Limitation's right to exoneration		
6	from liability.		
7	Thus, the Court finds that Plaintiff-in-Limitation YACHTING SPECIALTIES, INC., is		
8	entitled to judgment as a matter of law. The Court further finds that any claims against Plaintiff other than Rachel Belle's settled claim in-Limitation are neither permissible nor necessary. The Court further finds that pursuant to		
10	F.R.C.P. Rule 54(b) that there is no reason for delay in entry of judgment.		
11	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, JUDGED, AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:		
12	Plaintiff-in-Limitation's Motion for an order granting Plaintiff-in-Limitation exoneration		
13	from liability for damages, for any and all claims, losses, or injuries that occurred on or about		
14	March 8, 2017, is granted; and the Clerk is expressly directed to enter judgment in favor of		
15	Plaintiff-in-Limitation.		
16			
17	1.		
18	Dated: November 28, 2017 Mafine M. Cluby		
19	United States District Judge		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

28