

1 Kyle G. Bates (299114)  
 2 SCHNEIDER WALLACE  
 3 COTTRELL KONECKY  
 4 WOTKYNS LLP  
 5 2000 Powell Street, Suite 1400  
 6 Emeryville, California 94608  
 7 Tel: (415) 421-7100  
 8 Fax: (415) 421-7105  
 9 kbates@schneiderwallace.com

Attorney for Plaintiffs

7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 8 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10 NICOLE ALCANTARA, *et al.*,  
 11 Plaintiffs,  
 12 vs.

) Case No. 3:17-cv-01987-WHA

)  
 ) **JOINT STIPULATION TO**  
 ) **REMAND; [~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER]**

13 BAYER CORP.; BAYER HEALTHCARE  
 14 LLC; BAYER ESSURE INC., (F/K/A  
 15 CONCEPTUS, INC.); BAYER HEALTHCARE  
 16 PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.; and DOES 1-10,  
 17 inclusive,  
 18 Defendants.

) Honorable William Alsup  
 ) Date: None Set  
 ) Time:  
 ) Place: San Francisco Courthouse, 450  
 ) Golden Gate Avenue, 19<sup>th</sup> Floor, Courtroom  
 ) 8, San Francisco, California 94102

1 Plaintiffs Nicole Alcantara, *et al.*, and defendants and specially-appearing defendants Bayer  
2 Corporation, Bayer Essure Inc., Bayer HealthCare LLC, and Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc.  
3 (collectively, “Bayer”), hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

4           1.       Plaintiffs filed their complaint on February 3, 2017, in the Superior Court for the  
5 State of California, County of Alameda. In their complaint, Plaintiffs asserted claims involving the  
6 Essure® Permanent Birth Control System (the “Essure® Device”).

7           2.       On April 5, 2017, the Coordination Trial Judge of the Superior Court of the State of  
8 California, County of Alameda, granted Plaintiffs’ petition for coordination of add-on cases with the  
9 Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding (“JCCP”) 4887.

10          3.       On April 10, 2017, Bayer removed the matter from the Alameda County Superior  
11 Court to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

12          4.       Bayer filed its Motion to Dismiss on April 17, 2017, on the grounds of federal  
13 preemption, among other grounds.

14          5.       On May 1, 2017, briefing on Bayer’s Motion to Dismiss and Plaintiffs’ anticipated  
15 Motion to Remand was stayed pending this Court’s decision in a related case pending before this  
16 Court involving the Essure® Device, captioned as *Elizabeth Ann Sangimino, et al. v. Bayer Corp., et*  
17 *al.*, Case No. 3:17-cv-01488-WHA.

18          6.       On May 9, 2017, Plaintiffs moved to remand this action to the Superior Court of  
19 Alameda County, State of California, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447, on the grounds that this Court  
20 lacks jurisdiction over this action.

21          7.       On June 9, 2017, this Court granted Plaintiffs’ Motion to Remand in the *Sangimino*  
22 matter and denied the Motion to Dismiss as moot.

23          8.       The parties have met and conferred and agree to remand this case to the Alameda  
24 County Superior Court.

25          9.       The parties thus respectfully ask the Court to enter an order remanding this case to  
26 state court based on the stipulation of the parties.  
27

28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: June 16, 2017

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

By: /s/ Alycia A. Degen  
Alycia A. Degen  
Bradley J. Dugan

*Attorneys for Defendants and Specially  
Appearing Defendants*  
Bayer Corporation, Bayer HealthCare LLC,  
Bayer Essure Inc., and Bayer HealthCare  
Pharmaceuticals Inc.

Dated: June 16, 2017

SCHNEIDER WALLACE COTTRELL  
KONECKY WOTKYNS

By: /s/ Kyle G. Bates  
Kyle G. Bates

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
Nicole Alcantara, *et al.*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**FILER'S ATTESTATION**

Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), regarding signatures, Kyle G. Bates hereby attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from counsel for Defendants.

Dated: June 16, 2017

By: /s/ Kyle G. Bates  
Kyle G. Bates

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court, Northern District of California, by using the Court's CM/ECF system on June 16, 2017.

I certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the Court's CM/ECF system.

/s/ Kyle G. Bates  
Kyle G. Bates

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

PURSUANT TO THE PARTIES' STIPULATION, and for good cause shown, IT IS ORDERED THAT Alcantara v. Bayer Corporation, Case No. 3:17-CV-01987-WHA, be remanded to the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Alameda.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June, 19, 2017.



\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable William Alsup