

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

POP MIDDLE EAST, INC.,

No. C 17-02015 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

POPSUGAR INC., and EBATES INC.,

Defendants.

**ORDER DENYING PRO
HAC VICE APPLICATION
OF ATTORNEY EDWARD
KLARIS**

The *pro hac vice* application of Attorney Edward Klaris (Dkt. No. 6) is **DENIED** for failing to comply with Local Rule 11-3. The local rule requires that an applicant certify that “he or she is an active member in good standing of the bar of a United States *Court* or of *the highest court* of another State or the District of Columbia, *specifying such bar*” (emphasis added). Filling out the *pro hac vice* form from the district court website such that it only identifies the state of bar membership — such as “the bar of New York” — is inadequate under the local rule because it fails to identify a specific court. While the application fee does not need to be paid again, the application cannot be processed until a corrected form is submitted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 17, 2017.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE