

1 Laura J. Baughman, CA SBN 263944
 2 Baron & Budd, P.C.
 3 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue, Suite 1100
 4 Dallas, Texas 75219
 5 Telephone: (214) 521-3605
 6 Facsimile: (214) 520-1181
 7 Email: lbaughman@baronbudd.com

8 *Attorney for Plaintiffs*

9 Alycia A. Degen, SBN 211350
 10 adegen@sidley.com
 11 Bradley J. Dugan, SBN 271870
 12 bdugan@sidley.com
 13 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
 14 555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000
 15 Los Angeles, California 90013
 16 Telephone: 1-213-896-6000
 17 Facsimile: 1-213-896-6600

18 *Attorneys for Defendants and Specially Appearing*
 19 *Defendants Bayer Corporation, Bayer Essure Inc.,*
 20 *Bayer HealthCare LLC, Bayer HealthCare*
 21 *Pharmaceuticals Inc.*

22 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 23 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

24 LEANNA BLANKENSHIP, *et al.*;

CASE NO. 3:17-cv-02230-WHA

25 Plaintiffs,

JOINT STIPULATION TO REMAND;
~~**PROPOSED**~~ **ORDER**

26 v.

27 BAYER CORP., an Indiana corporation;
 28 BAYER HEALTHCARE LLC, a Delaware
 company; BAYER ESSURE INC. (F/K/A
 CONCEPTUS, INC.), a Delaware corporation;
 BAYER HEALTHCARE
 PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., a Delaware
 corporation; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,

Defendants.

1 Plaintiffs Leanna Blankenship, *et al.*, and defendants and specially-appearing defendants
2 Bayer Corporation, Bayer Essure Inc., Bayer HealthCare LLC, and Bayer HealthCare
3 Pharmaceuticals Inc. (collectively, “Bayer”), hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

4 1. Plaintiffs filed their initial Complaint on March 10, 2017, and their First Amended
5 Complaint on March 21, 2017, in the Superior Court for the State of California, County of
6 Riverside. In their Complaint and First Amended Complaint, Plaintiffs asserted claims involving
7 the Essure® Permanent Birth Control System (the “Essure® Device”).

8 2. On April 3, 2017, the Coordination Trial Judge of the Superior Court of the State of
9 California, County of Alameda, granted Plaintiffs’ petition for coordination of add-on case with the
10 Judicial Council Coordinated Proceeding (“JCCP”) 4887.

11 3. On April 21, 2017, Bayer removed the matter from the Alameda County Superior
12 Court to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

13 4. On April 21, 2017, Bayer filed an administrative motion to relate this matter to
14 another matter pending in the Northern District of California involving the Essure® Device,
15 captioned as *Elizabeth Ann Sangimino, et al. v. Bayer Corp., et al.*, Case No. 3:17-cv-01488-WHA.

16 5. Bayer filed its Motion to Dismiss on April 28, 2017, on the grounds of federal
17 preemption, among other grounds.

18 6. On May 3, 2017 the parties filed a stipulation to stay briefing on Plaintiffs’
19 anticipated Motion to Remand, and on Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss pending the Northern
20 District of California’s rulings on the Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Remand in *Sangimino*. The
21 Court granted the parties’ Stipulation on May 4, 2017.

22 7. On May 19, 2017, Plaintiffs moved to remand this action to the Superior Court of
23 Alameda County, State of California, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447, on the grounds that this Court
24 lacks jurisdiction over this action.

25 8. On June 9, 2017, this Court granted Plaintiffs’ Motion to Remand in the *Sangimino*
26 matter and denied the Motion to Dismiss as moot.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

9. The parties have met and conferred and agree to remand this case to the Alameda County Superior Court.

10. The parties thus respectfully ask the Court to enter an order remanding this case to state court based on the stipulation of the parties.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: June 19, 2017

BARON & BUDD, P.C.

By: /s/Laura J. Baughman
Laura J. Baughman
Sindhu S. Daniel
Russell W. Budd

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Dated: June 19, 2017

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

By: /s/Alycia A. Degen
Alycia A. Degen
Bradley J. Dugan

Attorneys for Defendants and Specially Appearing Defendants Bayer Corporation, Bayer HealthCare LLC, Bayer Essure Inc., and Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LEANNA BLANKENSHIP, et al;

Plaintiffs,

v.

BAYER CORP., an Indiana corporation;
BAYER HEALTHCARE LLC, a Delaware
company; BAYER ESSURE INC. (F/K/A
CONCEPTUS, INC.), a Delaware corporation;
BAYER HEALTHCARE
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., a Delaware
corporation; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:17-cv-02230-WHA

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER RE JOINT
STIPULATION TO REMAND

PURSUANT TO THE PARTIES' STIPULATION, and for good cause shown, IT IS
ORDERED THAT Blankenship v. Bayer Corporation, Case No. 3:17-cv-02230-WHA, be
remanded to the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Alameda.

DATED: June 20, 2017.



Honorable William Alsup