

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CROWD FUND INVESTMENT GROUP
LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

JON LEWIS, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. [17-cv-02377-EMC](#)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

The above-referenced case is related to another case filed in this District, more specifically, *Crowd Fund Investment Group LLC v. Lewis*, No. C-17-0803 (N.D. Cal.) (hereinafter *Crowd Fund I*). *Crowd Fund I* was initially filed in state court but then was removed by one of the defendants in the case, Karen I. Lewis. This Court adopted the report and recommendation of Judge Ryu that *Crowd Fund I* be remanded – both for procedural defects in removal and for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

The instant case is related to *Crowd Fund I* because it is the same state court action that was removed in *Crowd Fund I* – the only difference being that now the removal was effected by a different defendant, *i.e.*, Jon Lewis. The Court has reviewed Mr. Lewis’s notice of removal and, from what it can divine (the notice is far from a model of clarity), it sees no legal basis for the removal, including for the reasons articulated by Judge Ryu in her report and recommendation for *Crowd Fund I*.


///
///
///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Accordingly, the Court hereby orders Mr. Lewis to show cause as to why the instant action should not be remanded to the superior court from which it was removed. **Mr. Lewis shall have two weeks from the date of the order below to file a response. If no response is filed by that date, then the Clerk of the Court shall immediately remand the case and close the file for the action.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 2, 2017



EDWARD M. CHEN
United States District Judge