UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DARREN VINCENT FORD,

Petitioner,

v.

AUDREY KING,

Respondent.

Case No. <u>17-cv-02387-RS</u> (PR)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

This federal action was filed as a petition for writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254, that is, as a challenge to the lawfulness or duration of petitioner's incarceration. A review of the petition, however, shows that petitioner sets forth claims regarding the conditions of his confinement. If he prevails on such claims it will not affect necessarily the length of his incarceration. This means that his claim is not the proper subject of a habeas action, but must be brought as a civil rights case under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. *See Badea v. Cox*, 931 F.2d 573, 574 (9th Cir. 1991) (habeas corpus action proper mechanism for challenging "legality or duration" of confinement; civil rights action proper method for challenging conditions of confinement); *Crawford v. Bell*, 599 F.2d 890, 891–92 & n.1

¹ Petitioner raises claims regarding his confinement at Coalinga State Hospital in 2013. Because such events occurred in the Eastern District, any suit he files regarding his treatment at Coalinga should be filed in the Eastern District.

(9th Cir. 1979) (affirming dismissal of habeas petition on basis that challenges to terms and conditions of confinement must be brought in civil rights complaint).

In an appropriate case a habeas petition may be construed as a section 1983 complaint. *Wilwording v. Swenson*, 404 U.S. 249, 251 (1971). Although the Court may construe a habeas petition as a civil rights action, it is not required to do so. Since the time when the *Wilwording* case was decided there have been significant changes in the law. For instance, the filing fee for a habeas petition is five dollars; for civil rights cases, however, the fee is now \$400 (\$350 if IFP status is granted) and under the Prisoner Litigation Reform Act the prisoner is required to pay it, even if granted *in forma pauperis* status, by way of deductions from income to the prisoner's trust account. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b). A prisoner who might be willing to file a habeas petition for which he or she would not have to pay a filing fee might feel otherwise about a civil rights complaint for which the \$400 fee would be deducted from income to his or her prisoner account. Also, a civil rights complaint which is dismissed as malicious, frivolous, or for failure to state a claim would count as a "strike" under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), which is not true for habeas cases.

In view of these potential pitfalls for petitioner if the Court were to construe the petition as a civil rights complaint, this federal action is DISMISSED without prejudice to his filing a civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 if he wishes to do so in light of the above. Because petitioner is housed in the Eastern District, any civil rights suit he files challenging the current conditions of his confinement should be filed there. The Clerk shall enter judgment in favor of respondent, and close the file.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May <u>3</u>, 2017

RICHARD SEEBORG United States District Judge

ORDER OF TRANSFER CASE NO. 17-cv-02387-RS