

1 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

2 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

3
4 RESOLUTE FOREST PRODUCTS, INC., et
5 al.

6 Plaintiffs,

7 v.

8 GREENPEACE INTERNATIONAL, et al.

9 Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:17-CV-02824-JST

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
STIPULATION TO ALLOW PLAINTIFFS
TO FILE CONSOLIDATED OPPOSITION
IN EXCESS OF 25 PAGES**

Action Filed: May 31, 2016
Hon. Jon S. Tigar
Courtroom 9

10
11
12 Plaintiffs Resolute Forest Products, Inc., Resolute FP US, Inc., Resolute FP Augusta,
13 LLC, Fibrek General Partnership, Fibrek U.S., Inc., Fibrek International, Inc., and Resolute FP
14 Canada, Inc., (collectively, “Resolute” or “Plaintiffs”), on the one hand, Defendants Greenpeace
15 International (aka Greenpeace Stichting Council) (“GPI”), Greenpeace, Inc., Daniel Brindis,
16 Amy Moas, Matthew Daggett, Rolf Skar and (collectively, the “Greenpeace Defendants”),
17 Defendant Greenpeace Fund, Inc. (“Greenpeace Fund”), and Defendants Todd Paglia and Stand,
18 formerly known as ForestEthics (collectively, “Stand”) (collectively “Defendants”), on the other
19 hand, through their respective counsel, have agreed and stipulated to an order allowing Resolute
20 to file a consolidated opposition to Defendants Motions to Dismiss and Strike Plaintiffs’ First
21 Amended Complaint, not to exceed 80 pages, and good cause appearing therefore,

22 **~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER**

23 **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24 Plaintiffs may file a consolidated opposition to Defendants’ Motions to Dismiss and
25 Strike Plaintiffs’ First Amended Complaint that exceeds 25 pages but which shall not exceed 80
26 pages.

27 DATED: March 20, 2018

28 
Hon. Jon S. Tigar

Case No. 3:17-CV-02824-JST

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER