
United States District Court



meeting.³ Counsel for the plaintiffs did not respond.⁴ On April 25, 2019, counsel for the District 1 2 Council sent a second letter to counsel for the plaintiffs requesting a meet and confer and proposing additional dates for a meeting.⁵ Counsel for the plaintiffs did not respond.⁶ On May 7, 3 2019, the District Council filed a renewed motion to strike Mr. Iniguez's deposition errata sheet.⁷ 4 The court hereby orders the plaintiffs to show cause (1) why they did not meet and confer with 5 the District Council as the court ordered and (2) why the District Council's renewed motion to 6 7 strike Mr. Iniguez's deposition errata sheet should not be granted. The plaintiffs are ordered to file 8 a written response of not more than five double-spaced pages by May 13, 2019. 9 IT IS SO ORDERED. 10 Dated: May 8, 2019 11 12 LAUREL BEELER 13 United States Magistrate Judge 14 15 16 17 18 19 2021 22 23 24 25 ³ Lozano-Batista Decl. – ECF No. 186 at 2 (¶ 2); Lozano-Batista Decl. Ex. A – ECF No. 186-1. ⁴ Lozano-Batista Decl. – ECF No. 186 at 2 (¶ 3). 26 ⁵ Id. (¶ 4); Lozano-Batista Decl. Ex. A – ECF No. 186-2. 27 ⁶ Lozano-Batista Decl. – ECF No. 186 at 2 (¶ 5). 28 ⁷ Dist. Council Renewed Mot. to Strike – ECF No. 185. 2 ORDER - No. 17-cv-03037-RS (LB)