

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CONNELL & ASSOCIATES *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

No. C 17-03175 WHA

v.

SHANE CLARIDGE WHITE *et al.*,

Defendants.

ORDER RELATING CASES

Plaintiffs Connell & Associates, David William Connell Huber, and Omar Gerardo Ortiz Castaneda filed a motion to relate *Thomas F. White 1991 Trust v. David William Connell, et al.*, No. 17-cv-03177-WHO (William Orrick) to this action. Defendants Shane Claridge White, Yulissa Zulaica, and Jack Teeters oppose.

Case No. 17-cv-03177-WHO and Case No. 17-03175 WHA concern substantially the same parties, property, transactions, and events, and it is likely that there will be an undue burdensome duplication of labor if the cases are conducted before different judges. *See* Civ. Local Rule 3-12. Accordingly, plaintiffs' motion to relate is **GRANTED**, and Case No.17-cv-03177-WHO **SHALL BE REASSIGNED** to the undersigned judge.

The parties are instructed that all future filings are to bear the initials of the newly assigned judge immediately after the case number. Any case management conference in any reassigned case will be rescheduled by the Court. The parties shall adjust the dates for the conference, disclosures and report required by FRCP 16 and 26 accordingly. Unless otherwise ordered, any dates for hearing noticed motions are vacated and must be re- noticed by the

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

moving party before the newly assigned judge. Any deadlines set by the ADR Local Rules remain in effect, and any deadlines established in a case management order continue to govern, except dates for appearance in court, which will be rescheduled by the newly assigned judge.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 18, 2017.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE