2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	NORTHERN DIST	ES DISTRICT COURT FRICT OF CALIFORNIA CISCO DIVISION CASE NO. 3:17-cv-03246-JST STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER GOVERNING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION Judge: Hon. Jon S. Tigar Complaint Filed: June 6, 2017
28		

8

9

10

1

16

18 19

20

2.1

17

23

22

24

25

26

27 28

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f)(3)(C), Plaintiff 150 Spear Street Associates, L.P. ("Plaintiff") and Defendants VWR International, LLC and Univar USA Inc. (collectively, "Defendants") (Plaintiff and Defendants collectively, the "Parties"), by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate and agree, subject to Court approval, to the following terms governing discovery of electronically stored information ("ESI") in this case:

1. **PURPOSE**

This Stipulated Order shall govern discovery of ESI in this case as a supplement to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court's Guidelines for the Discovery of Electronically Stored Information, and any other applicable orders and rules. The Parties desire to control and focus the production of ESI to the needs of the case to promote a "just, speedy, and inexpensive determination" of this action, as required under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 1.

Absent a showing of good cause, general ESI production requests under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 34 and 45, or compliance with a mandatory disclosure requirement of this Court, shall not include all metadata. However, the metadata fields identified in Section 5(e) below shall generally be included in the production if such fields exist. If during the discovery period, additional metadata fields are identified that would facilitate the identification or organization of relevant information, the Parties shall work in good faith to include those additional metadata fields that exist.

2. COOPERATION

The Parties are aware of the importance that the Court places on cooperation and commit to cooperate in good faith throughout this case consistent with this Court's Guidelines for the Discovery of Electronically Stored Information. A party's meaningful compliance with this Stipulated Order and efforts to promote efficiency and reduce costs will be considered in costshifting determinations. For example, a party's refusal to allow for additional metadata fields, as referenced above, would be evidence of noncompliance.

3. PRESERVATION

The Parties have discussed their preservation obligations and needs and agree that preservation of potentially relevant ESI will be reasonable and proportionate. To reduce the costs

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

and burdens of preservation and to ensure proper ESI is preserved, the Parties agree that:

- The Parties shall meet and confer regarding the appropriate types of ESI which (a) they believe should be preserved and the custodians, or general job titles or descriptions of custodians, for whom they believe ESI should be preserved, as necessary. The Parties shall add or remove custodians as reasonably necessary; and
- (b) The Parties shall meet and confer regarding the appropriate number of custodians per party for whom ESI will be preserved, as necessary.

4. SEARCH TERMS

The Parties agree that in responding to an initial Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34 request, or earlier if appropriate, they shall meet and confer about appropriate search terms to search ESI in order to identify ESI that is subject to production in discovery and filter out ESI that is not subject to discovery.

5. PRODUCTION FORMATS

The Parties agree pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34(b)(2)(E)(i), that the presumption is that ESI shall be produced in native format (that is, the same form that it is used or kept in the usual course of business) and agree to use commercially reasonable efforts to produce the information electronically consistent with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 1. The Parties further agree that emails, spreadsheets, videos, animations, audio files, and presentations that include video, animation, or audio shall be produced where practical in native format. To the extent that documents produced in native format cannot be rendered or viewed without the use of proprietary software, the Parties shall meet and confer to minimize any expense or burden associated with the review of such documents, including issues as may arise with respect to obtaining access to any such software or operating manuals.

ESI not produced in native format and amenable to being imaged shall be produced as images on optical disks (that is, CDs or DVDs), external hard drives, or a secure File Transfer Protocol (FTP) site, accompanied by load files. Each image will bear a unique production number and any applicable confidentiality language pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order governing this case. ESI produced in this manner shall be accompanied by load files with

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

searchable text, including electronically extracted text or, if electronically extracted text is unavailable, OCR text, and shall include:

- (a) Unitization, including the production number of the first and last page of each document;
- Attachments, including information sufficient to identify the parent and child (b) relationships of all documents and ESI that are or have attachments;
- (c) Confidentiality, including any designation pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order:
- Source information, including the identity of the custodian, or, if none, a (d) generalized location; and
- (e) System metadata, namely the following fields: (1) BEGDOC; (2) ENDDOC;
- (3) BEGATTACH; (4) ENDATTACH; (5) PAGECOUNT; (6) ATTACHCOUNT;
- (7) CUSTODIAN; (8) FILENAME; (9) FILEEXT; (10) FOLDER, except that this field need not be produced for emails with counsel for the Parties; (11) FILESIZE; (12) AUTHOR; (13) TITLE;
- (14) CREATEDDATE; (15) CREATEDTIME; (16) LASTMODDATE; (17) LASTMODTIME;
- (18) EMAIL_FROM; (19) EMAIL_TO; (20) EMAIL_CC; (21) EMAIL_BCC;
- (22) EMAIL_SUBJECT; (23) EMAIL_DATERCVD; (24) EMAIL_TIMERCVD;
- (25) EMAIL DATESENT; (26) EMAIL TIMESENT; (27) DESIGNATION; and (28) MD5HASH.

Paper documents amenable to being imaged shall be produced as images on optical disks (that is, CDs or DVDs), external hard drives, or FTP site, accompanied by load files. Paper documents may be produced in black-and-white or color, but if a party intends to rely in any brief or hearing on an aspect of a document that requires review in color, the party shall produce that document in color. Each image will bear a unique production number and any applicable confidentiality language pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order governing this case. Paper documents produced in this manner will be accompanied by load files with searchable text. If a party desires additional information about a particular paper document produced in this form, the Parties shall meet and confer regarding the appropriate means to supply the additional

San Francisco

information.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

6. PHASING

When a party propounds discovery requests pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34, the Parties agree to phase the production of ESI. Following the Court's entry of an order on this Stipulation, the Parties shall continue to prioritize the order of subsequent productions.

7. DOCUMENTS PROTECTED FROM DISCOVERY

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d), the production of a privileged and/or work-product-protected document, whether inadvertent or otherwise, is not a waiver of privilege and/or protection from discovery in this case or in any other federal or state proceeding. For example, the mere production of privileged and/or work-product-protected documents in this case as part of a mass production is not itself a waiver in this case or in any other federal or state proceeding. The Parties agree that privileged and/or work-product-protected communication to or from trial counsel post-dating the filing of the Complaint need not be placed on a privilege log.

8. <u>MODIFICATION</u>

This Stipulated Order may be modified by a stipulated order of the Parties or by the Court for good cause shown.

IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.

18 /// 19 /// 20 /// 21 /// 22 /// 23 /// 24 /// 25 /// 26 /// 27

ary 15, 2018	ROPERS, MAJESKI, KOHN & BENTLEY
	By: /s/ N. Kathleen Strickland
	N. KATHLEEN STRICKLAND ANGELA J. YU
	STEPHAN CHOO Attorneys for Defendant
15. 2010	VWR INTERNATIONAL, LLC
ary 15, 2018	DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP
	By: /s/ Bonnie Barnett BONNIE ALLYN BARNETT
	ADAM J. THURSTON Attorneys for Defendant
	VWR INTERNATIONAL, LLC
ary 15, 2018	VERIS LAW GROUP PLLC
	By: /s/ Michelle Rosenthal MICHELLE ROSENTHAL
	GREGORY HIXSON Attorneys for Defendant
	UNIVAR USA INC.
ary 15, 2018	NIXON PEABODY LLP
	By: /s/ Jennifer Kuenster
	JENNIFER KUENSTER ANTHONY BARRON
	MATTHEW RICHARDS Attorneys for Defendant
17, 2010	UNIVAR USA INC.
ary 15, 2018	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
	By: /s/ Philip S. Warden
	PHILIP S. WARDEN VIJAY K. TOKE
	Attorneys for Plaintiff 150 SPEAR STREET ASSOCIATES,
	L.P.
	ary 15, 2018 ary 15, 2018

Ropers Majeski Kohn & Bentley A Professional Corporation San Francisco

[PROPOSED] ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: February 16, 2018

HONORABLE JON S. TIGAR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE ESI 3:17-CV-03246-JST