

1 Paul F. Utrecht (CA Bar No. 118658)
 2 UTRECHT & LENVIN, LLP
 3 109 Stevenson Street, 5th Floor
 4 San Francisco, CA 94105
 5 Phone: (415)357-0600
 6 Email: putrecht@ullawfirm.com

7 Thomas W. Connors (OH Bar No. 7226)
 8 BLACK McCUSKEY SOUERS
 9 & ARBAUGH, LPA
 10 220 Market Avenue S. Suite 1000
 11 Canton, OH 44702
 12 Phone: (330)456-8341
 13 Email: tconnors@bmsa.com

14 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
 15 Peyman Pakdel and Sima Chegini

16 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 17 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
 18 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

19 PEYMAN PAKDEL and SIMA
 20 CHEGINI,

21 Plaintiffs,

22 vs.

23 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
 24 FRANCISCO, a Chartered California City
 25 and County; SAN FRANCISCO BOARD
 26 OF SUPERVISORS; and elected body of
 27 the City and County of San Francisco;
 28 SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF
 PUBLIC WORKS, a department of the
 City and County of San Francisco; and
 DOES 1-25 inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 3:17-cv-03638

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
 ORDER CONTINUING INITIAL CASE
 MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND
 DEFENDANTS MOTION TO DISMISS**

The parties hereby stipulate to a continuance of the City and County of San Francisco’s (“Defendants”) Motion to Dismiss and the Court’s Initial Case Management Conference, and to adjust the briefing schedule on that motion accordingly.

UTRECHT & LENVIN, LLP
 109 Stevenson Street, 5th Floor
 San Francisco, CA 94105

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs Peyman Pakdel and Sima Chegini (collectively “Plaintiffs”), filed the above-captioned action on June 26, 2017, for alleged violation of Federal Civil Rights Under 42 U.S.C. §1983 and California State Law (Cal. Gov’t Code §7060-7060.7, Cal. Civil Code §1954.52, Cal Const. Art. I, §1);

WHEREAS, the Court scheduled the Initial Case Management Conference on September 28, 2017 at 10:00 a.m.;

WHEREAS, Defendants on August 18, 2017, filed a Motion to Dismiss Complaint and scheduled the hearing for September 28, 2017 at 11:30 a.m.;

STIPULATION

NOW THEREFORE, the parties hereby agree and stipulate that:

1. The Motion to Dismiss, currently set for September 28, 2017, be continued to November 9, 2018, at 11:30 a.m.;
 - a. Plaintiffs’ opposition papers will be due on October 12, 2017;
 - b. Defendants’ reply papers, if any, will be due on October 30, 2017;
2. The Initial Case Management Conference, currently set for September 28, 2017, be continued to December 14, 2017 at 10:00 a.m.;

SO STIPULATED:

Dated: August 28, 2017

UTRECHT & LENVIN, LLP

By: /s/ Paul F. Utrecht
Paul F. Utrecht
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Peyman Pakdel and Sima Chegini

Dated: August 28, 2017

By: /s/ Kristen A. Jenson
Kristen A. Jenson
Attorneys for Defendant
City and County of San Francisco

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

The Joint Stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing therefore, IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss currently set for September 28, 2017 at 11:30 a.m., be and hereby is continued to November 9, 2018, at 11:30 a.m. Plaintiffs' opposition papers shall be due on October 12, 2017 and Defendants reply papers shall be due on October 30, 2017. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Initial Case Management Conference currently set for September 28, 2017 at 10:00 a.m., be and hereby is continued to December 14, 2017 at 10:00 a.m.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 8/28/17



Richard Seeborg
United States District Judge