

1 DAVID CHIU, State Bar #189542
 City Attorney
 2 KRISTEN A. JENSEN, State Bar #130196
 CHRISTOPHER T. TOM, State Bar #271650
 3 Deputy City Attorneys
 City Hall, Room 234
 4 San Francisco, California 94102-4682
 Telephone: (415) 554-4615
 5 Facsimile: (415) 554-4757
 E-Mail: Kristen.Jensen@sfcityatty.org
 6 Christopher.Tom@sfcityatty.org

7 Attorneys for Defendants
 8 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

9
 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

12 PEYMAN PAKDEL and SIMA CHEGINI,

Case No. 3:17-cv-03638-RS

13 Plaintiffs,

**STIPULATION AND ORDER EXTENDING
 TIME TO FILE MOTION TO DISMISS,
 OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS,
 AND REPLY TO OPPOSITION**

14 vs.

15 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
 16 a Chartered California City and County; SAN
 FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS,
 17 an elected body of the City and County of San
 Francisco; SAN FRANCISCO
 18 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, a
 department of the City and County of San
 19 Francisco; and DOES 1-25 inclusive,

Trial Date: N/A

Judge: Richard Seeborg

20 Defendants.

21
 22
 23 Pursuant to Local Rule 6-2, PEYMAN PAKDEL and SIMA CHEGINI (“Plaintiffs”) and City
 24 and County of San Francisco, a Chartered California City and County; San Francisco Board of
 25 Supervisors, an elected body of the City and County of San Francisco; and San Francisco Department
 26 of Public Works, a department of the City and County of San Francisco (collectively herein “San
 27 Francisco”) by and through their attorneys of record hereby stipulate and agree:

1 1. On November 29, 2021, the Court issued a Case Management Order establishing the
2 following briefing schedule for this matter:

- 3 • “Plaintiffs will file their First Amended Complaint on or before January 5, 2022[.]”
- 4 • “Defendant will file its motion to dismiss the First Amended Complaint on or before
5 March 2, 2022.”
- 6 • “Plaintiffs will file their response to the motion to dismiss on or before April 13, 2022.”
- 7 • “Defendant will file its reply on or before June 1, 2022.”

8 2. Plaintiffs filed their First Amended Complaint on January 5, 2022.

9 3. Due to unanticipated medical and health issues that caused San Francisco’s lead
10 counsel Kristen Jensen to take sick leave as a result of contracting SARS-CoV-2, the parties agree that
11 San Francisco may have an extension of time to file its motion to dismiss the First Amended
12 Complaint from March 2, 2022 to April 1, 2022, or to other such date as is acceptable to the Court.

13 4. Plaintiffs may have an extension of time to file their opposition and response to the
14 motion to dismiss from April 13, 2022 to May 13, 2022, or to other such date as is acceptable to the
15 Court.

16 5. San Francisco may have an extension of time to file its reply to Plaintiffs’ opposition
17 and response from June 1, 2022 to July 1, 2022, or to other such date as is acceptable to the Court.

18 6. The 30-day extensions agreed upon herein may extend the scheduling of other Court
19 dates and deadlines and that no party is prejudiced by these extensions.

20 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

21
22 Dated: February 17, 2022

DAVID CHIU
City Attorney
KRISTEN A. JENSEN
CHRISTOPHER T. TOM
Deputy City Attorneys

23
24
25 By: /s/ CHRISTOPHER T. TOM
CHRISTOPHER T. TOM

26
27 Attorneys for Defendants
City and County of San Francisco, et al.

1 Dated: February 17, 2022

2
3 JEFFREY W. McCOY
4 ROBERT H. THOMAS
5 JAMES S. BURLING
6 ERIN E. WILCOX
7 PAUL F. UTRECHT
8 THOMAS W. CONNORS

9
10 By: /s/ JEFFREY W. McCOY (with consent)
11 JEFFREY W. McCOY

12
13 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
14 Peyman Pakdel and Sima Chegini
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

2 Pursuant to the foregoing stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing therefore, IT IS
3 HEREBY ORDERED that:


4 1. San Francisco may have an extension of time to file its motion to dismiss the First
5 Amended Complaint to April 1, 2022.

6 2. Plaintiffs may have an extension of time to file their opposition and response to the
7 motion to dismiss to May 13, 2022.

8 3. San Francisco may have an extension of time to file its reply to Plaintiffs' opposition
9 and response to July 1, 2022.

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: February 22, 2022

12 
13 Hon. Richard Seeborg
14 United States District Judge