

 ¹ Plaintiff consented to magistrate judge jurisdiction. (Dkt. No. 5.) The magistrate judge, then, has jurisdiction to issue this order, even though defendants have not been served or consented to magistrate judge jurisdiction. *See Neals v. Norwood*, 59 F.3d 530, 532 (5th Cir. 1995).

United States District Court Northern District of California *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 556). Furthermore, a court "is not required to accept legal conclusions cast in the form of factual allegations if those conclusions cannot reasonably be drawn from the facts alleged." *Clegg v. Cult Awareness Network*, 18 F.3d 752, 754-55 (9th Cir. 1994).

B. Legal Claims

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Plaintiff alleges that his "Social Security number has been used wrongfully for filing taxes, for which I did not give permission to file." (Compl. at 3.) He further alleges that "The Social Security Administration . . . has not contacted me regarding these incidents that occur[r]ed" in 2014, 2015, and 2016. (*Id*.)

These allegations are insufficient to state a claim for relief. First, plaintiff has not shown any liability on the part of the Social Security Administration ("SSA"). It appears that some person or persons misused his Social Security number ("SSN"). The fault, therefore, lies with such persons, not with the SSA.

Second, plaintiff has not identified any law that requires that the SSA contact him when fraudulent use of his SSN occurs. In his amended complaint, plaintiff must identify what law or legal theory forms the basis of the SSA's liability.

Third, plaintiff's allegations are bare of specifics, such as what amounts he believes are owed to him because of the misuse of his SSN. In his amended complaint, plaintiff must include as many details as he can for each of the years he lists in his complaint.

Fourth, plaintiff should consider whether filing suit is the best means of securing redress. He should consider contacting the SSA or the IRS to discuss the various methods available to remedy whatever wrongs were done to him, and voluntarily dismiss this lawsuit.

CONCLUSION

The complaint is DISMISSED with leave to amend. <u>Plaintiff shall file an</u> <u>amended complaint on or before November 2, 2017.</u> The first amended complaint must include the caption and civil case number used in this order (17-03768 JCS (PR)) and the words FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT on the first page. It must address all deficiencies United States District Court Northern District of California 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

discussed above. Because an amended complaint completely replaces the previous complaints, plaintiff must include in his first amended complaint all the claims he wishes to present and all of the defendants he wishes to sue. *See Ferdik v. Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1262 (9th Cir. 1992). Plaintiff may not incorporate material from the prior complaint by reference. Any claims not raised in the amended complaint will be deemed waived. Failure to file an amended complaint in accordance with this order will result in dismissal of this action without further notice to plaintiff.

It is plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must keep the Court informed of any change of address by filing a separate paper with the clerk headed "Notice of Change of Address." He must comply with the Court's orders in a timely fashion or ask for an extension of time to do so. Failure to comply may result in the dismissal of this action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

Plaintiff's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* (Dkt. No. 4) is GRANTED. The Clerk shall terminate Dkt. No. 4.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 27, 2017

CN

JØSEPH C. SPERO Chief Magistrate Judge

1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		
7	ADRIAN REYNARD SMITH,	Case No. <u>17-cv-03768-JCS</u>
8	Plaintiff,	
9	V.	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
10	SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA,	
11	Defendant.	
12	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S.	
13	District Court, Northern District of California.	
14	That on September 27, 2017, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.	
15		
16		
17	Adrian Reynard Smith ID: #567942 3554 17th Street, Apt. 2 San Francisco, CA 94110	
18		
19		
20	Dated: September 27, 2017	
21		
22		Susan Y. Soong
23		Clerk, United States District Court
24		By: Marin L. Hom-
25		Karen Hom, Deputy Clerk to the Honorable JOSEPH C. SPERO
26		
27		
28		
		4

United States District Court Northern District of California