| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | NOAH G. BLECHMAN (State Bar No. 1971 noah.blechman@mcnamaralaw.com CAMEREN N. RIPOLI (State Bar No. 31804 cameren.ripoli@mcnamaralaw.com MCNAMARA, NEY, BEATTY, SLATTERY, BORGES & AMBACHER LLP 3480 Buskirk Avenue, Suite 250 Pleasant Hill, CA 94523 Telephone: (925) 939-5330 Facsimile: (925) 939-0203 Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF PITTSBURG, CITY OF PITTSBU CHIEF BRIAN ADDINGTON, PITTSBURG OFFICERS ERNEST MEJIA, JASON WAIT GLASPER, GABRIEL PALMA, JONATHAT PATRICK BERHAN | RG POLICE F POLICE TE, WILLIE |
|---|--|--|
| 10 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 11 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 12 | • | |
| 13 | ULIMPERTO MARTINEZ Decord | Case No. C17 04246 BS |
| 14 | HUMBERTO MARTINEZ, Deceased, through his Co0Successors in Interest, H.R.M., Jr., a minor through his mother and | Case No. C17-04246 RS STIPULATION AND ORDER |
| 15 | Next Friend Priscilla Sandoval Vanarendonk, individually and as successor | SHORTENING THE TIME FOR (1) DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY |
| 16 17 | in interest for HUMBERTO ROSARIO MARTINEZ, Deceased, and A.M., a minor, through her mother and Next Friend Tina | PROCEEDINGS PENDING RESOLUTION OF INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL AND (2) PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO CERTIFY |
| 18 | Anglin, individually and as successor in interest for HUMBERTO ROSARIO | APPEAL AS FRIVOLOUS |
| 19 | MARTINEZ, Deceased; and JAMIE ANN COX, individually, | Judge: Hon. Richard Seeborg |
| 20 | Plaintiffs, | PTC Date: April 24, 2019 Trial Date: May 6, 2019 |
| 21 | vs. | 11111 Duto. 1111 0, 2017 |
| 22 | CITY OF PITTSBURG, a public entity; CITY OF PITTSBURG POLICE CHIEF | |
| 23 | BRIAN ADDINGTON, in his individual and official capacities; PITTSBURG | |
| 24 | POLICE OFFICERS ERNEST MEJIA, JASON WAITE, WILLIE GLASPER, | |
| 25 26 | GABRIEL PALMA, JONATHAN ELMORE, PATRICK BERHAN, and DOES 1-10, Jointly and severally, | |
| 27 | Defendants. | |
| 28 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | | |

STIPULATION AND ORDER SHORTENING TIME FOR PARTIES' MOTIONS, C17-042446 RS PLAINTIFFS and DEFENDANTS, by and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate to the following:

WHEREAS Defendants intend to file a Notice of Appeal, an interlocutory appeal, to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, on Monday, March 25, 2019. Defendants' appeal challenges the Court's ruling denying qualified immunity for all six individually named arresting officer Defendants, regarding the Plaintiffs' Fourth Amendment claim for excessive force, per the Court's ruling on March 8th on Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF 73). As a result of the pending appeal, Defendants now seek to have the remaining claims that are not directly the subject of the appeal stayed pending the resolution of the interlocutory appeal. Plaintiffs will be opposing the motion to stay.

WHEREAS Plaintiffs intend to file a motion to certify Defendants' interlocutory appeal as frivolous to permit trial of the appealed federal claims while the appeal proceeds in the Ninth Circuit. Defendants will be opposing this motion by Plaintiffs.

WHEREAS the parties are respectfully requesting that the Court shorten time for the hearing (if a hearing is necessary), or the briefing schedule, on both Defendants' Motion to Stay Proceedings Pending Resolution of Interlocutory Appeal and Plaintiffs' Motion to Certify Defendants' Qualified Immunity Appeal as Frivolous, in light of pending Pretrial and Trial deadlines.

WHEREAS the parties believe it is in the best interests of all involved to have these motions decided prior to the filing deadlines of various labor and time intensive pre-trial matters and for early clarity on these issues. Specifically, April 3, 2019, is the last day to meet and confer regarding pre-trial documents, April 10, 2019, is the last day to file pre-trial statement and trial pleadings, and April 15, 2019, is the last day to exchange exhibits.

WHEREAS if the briefing schedule and hearing (if necessary) are not shortened, the motions would not likely be decided until after the pre-trial filing deadlines have passed, necessitating considerable work for the parties and the court, even if this matter will be ordered stayed.

WHEREAS the parties agree that the briefing schedule could be modified as follows:

| 1 | • Both motions filed by March 26, 2019; | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | • Responses in Opposition due filed by April 2, 2019; | | |
| 3 | • Replies due filed by April 5, 2019, by noon; | | |
| 4 | • Hearing, the parties defer to the Court as to whether a hearing is needed. | | |
| 5 | WHEREAS good cause exists to shorten the time for Defendants' Motion to Stay | | |
| 6 | Proceedings Pending Resolution of Interlocutory Appeal and Plaintiffs' Motion to Certify | | |
| 7 | Defendants' Qualified Immunity Appeal as Frivolous. | | |
| 8 | SO STIPULATED | | |
| 9 | The parties attest that concurrence in the filing of these documents has been obtained from | | |
| 10 | each of the other Signatories, which shall serve in lieu of their signatures on the document. | | |
| 11 | Dated: March 25, 2019 MCNAMARA, NEY, BEATTY, SLATTERY, | | |
| 12 | BORGES & AMBACHER LLP | | |
| 13 | By: <u>Noah G. Blechman</u> Noah G. Blechman/Cameren N. Ripoli | | |
| 14 | Attorneys for Defendants | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | Dated: March 25, 2019 HADDAD & SHERWIN LLP | | |
| 17 | By: <u>Michael J. Haddad</u> Michael Haddad | | |
| 18 | Julia Sherwin Maya Sorensen | | |
| 19 | Attorney for Plaintiffs | | |
| 20 | : | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | : | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | : | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | STIPULATION AND ORDER SHORTENING TIME FOR 3 PARTIES' MOTIONS, C17-042446 RS | | |

•

!

| 1 | · ORDER |
|----------|---|
| 2 | Pursuant to the parties' stipulation and on a showing of good cause, the Court orders that |
| 3 | the briefing schedule for Defendants' Motion to Stay Proceedings Pending Resolution of |
| 4 | Interlocutory Appeal and Plaintiffs' Motion to Certify Defendants' Qualified Immunity Appeal as |
| 5 | Frivolous be shortened as follows: |
| 6 | • Both motions filed by March 26, 2019; |
| 7 | • Responses in Opposition due filed by April 2, 2019; |
| 8 | • Replies due filed by April 5, 2019, by noon; |
| 9 | • Hearing, <u>TBD</u> |
| 10 | |
| 11 | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 12 | |
| 13 | $\gamma_{11}\rho_{12}$ |
| 14 | Dated: <u>3/25/19</u> , By: Rich Sector |
| 15 | HON. RICHARD SEEBORG UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE |
| 16 | |
| 17 | : |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | : |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | : |
| 25 26 | |
| 20 27 | |
| 27 | : |
| 20 | STIPULATION AND ORDER SHORTENING TIME FOR 4 PARTIES' MOTIONS, C17-042446 RS |

McNAMARA, NEY, BEATTY, SLATTERY, BORGES & AMBACHER LLP Attorneys at law 3480 BUSKIRK Avenue, Suite 250, pleasant Hill, CA 94523 Telephone: (925) 939-5330