Northern District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JANE DOE,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff,

v.

CONTRA COSTA COUNTY, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 17-cv-04942-MEJ

ORDER RE: ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL

Re: Dkt. No. 3

On August 29, 2017, Plaintiff Jane Doe filed an administrative motion to file under seal her Application to Proceed under a Pseudonym. Mot., Dkt. No. 3; see id., Ex. 2 (Appl.). Plaintiff argues the Application "contains sensitive personal information pertaining to" her. Mot. at 2.

First, Plaintiff does not submit a declaration in support of her Motion to Seal. See Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(A) (requiring motion to file under seal to "be accompanied by . . . [a] declaration establishing that the document sought to be filed under seal, or portions thereof, are sealable.").¹

Second, Plaintiff's request is not narrowly tailored. See Civ. L.R. 79-5(b) ("The request must be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material[.]"). Plaintiff seeks to seal the Application in its entirety. See Mot. A review of the Application shows this is unnecessary. The Court sees no reason to seal Plaintiff's summary of the allegations in the publicly-filed Complaint or her summary of the applicable case law. See Appl. at 2-3; see also Compl. ¶¶ 15-23, Dkt. No.

1. Absent a declaration showing why any of this information is sealable, the Court finds no basis

Even assuming Plaintiff's unsupported Motion was sufficient, her argument that the Application "contains sensitive personal information" is conclusory. Plaintiff does not establish why the Application is entitled to protection under the law. See Civ. L.R. 79-5(b) ("A sealing order may issue only upon a request that establishes that the document, or portions thereof, are privileged, protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law[.]").

United States District Court Northern District of California

to do so.

No later than September 7, 2017, Plaintiff may file a declaration in support of her Motion to Seal and propose narrowly tailored redactions to conform with Civil Local Rule 79-5. If she fails to do so, her motion will be denied. Plaintiff's declaration may not exceed five pages, excluding declarations and exhibits.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 1, 2017

MARIA-ELENA JAMES United States Magistrate Judge