I

1		
2		
3		
4		
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8		
9	KATHLEEN INFANTE, as an individual	No. C 17-05145 WHA
10	and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	
11	Plaintiffs,	ODDED DENVING DDO
12	v.	ORDER DENYING PRO HAC VICE APPLICATION
13	LUXOTTICA RETAIL,	OF ATTORNEY ANDREW FRIEDMAN
14	Derendant.	
15		
16	The pro hac vice application of Attorney Andrew Friedman (Dkt. No. 8) is <b>DENIED</b> for	
17	Tailing to comply with Local Rule 11-5. The local rule requires that an applicant certify that the	
18	or she is an active member in good standing of the bar of a United States Court of of the highest	
19	court of another State or the District of Columbia, specifying such bar" (emphasis added).	
20	Filling out the pro hac vice form from the district court website such that it only identifies the	
21	state of bar membership — such as "the bar of the District of Columbia" — is inadequate under	
22	the local rule because it fails to identify a specific court. While the application fee does not need	
23	to be paid again, the application cannot be processed until a corrected form is submitted.	
24 25		
25 26	II IS SO ORDERED.	
26	, II	

<sup>27</sup> Dated: September 15, 2017.

28

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE