Bunkley-v-Verber et al Doc. 31

PETER GOODMAN 1 Attorney at Law 2 State Bar No. 65975 819 Eddy Street San Francisco, California 94109 3 Telephone: (4l5) 781-8866 Facsimile: (415) 781-2266 4 E-Mail: goodmanlawoffice@att.net 5 Attorney for Plaintiff JEFFRÉY BUNKLEY 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 10 JEFFREY BUNKLEY, 11 CV-17-05797 WHO 12 Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND ORDER ENLARGING TIME FOR PLAINTIFF TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS 13 VS. NICHOLAS VERBER, RANDOLPH 14 COUSENES, SAN MATEO COUNTY 15 SHERIFF'S OFFICE and DOES 1-50, Defendants 16 17 18 Plaintiff JEFFREY BUNKLEY, by his attorney, Peter Goodman, and Defendants 19 NICHOLAS VERBER, RANDOLPH COUSENES and SAN MATEO COUNTY SHERIFF'S 20 OFFICE, by their attorney, John C. Beiers, County Counsel of San Mateo County, and 21 Deputy County Counsel Karen Rosenthal, hereby stipulate and agree as follows: 22 1. On December 20, 2017, Defendants filed a Notice of Motion and Motion to 23 Dismiss and Memorandum of Points and Authorities ("Motion) (Document 16) setting a 24 hearing date of February 14, 2018. 25 2. On January 5, 2018, the parties filed a Stipulation and Order requesting

that the hearing date on the Motion be continued to March 7, 2018, and that the date for

the filing of Plaintiff's response to the Motion be enlarged to January 30, 2018, and the

date for the filings of Defendants' reply be enlarged to February 21, 2018. (Document

26

27

28

ı	1
1	20.) The Court issued an order granted the parties' requests later the same day.
2	(Document 21.)
3	3. The parties had requested one prior continuance in this matter that was
4	granted by the Court modifying the date of the Case Management Conference from
5	January 9, 2018, to January 16, 2018. (Document 15.)
6	4. Plaintiff's counsel is requesting an additional week to file his response to
7	the defendants' Motion. The Defendants are not opposed to that request. The parties
8	therefore stipulate and agree that the time for the filing of Plaintiff's response to the
9	Motion be enlarged from January 30, 2018, to February 6, 2018.
10	SO STIPULATED
11	DATED: January 26, 2018
12	
13	/s/
14	Attorney for Plaintiff
15	JEFFREY BUNKLEY SO STIPULATED
16	DATED: January 26, 2018
17	JOHN C. BEIERS, COUNTY COUNSEL
18	JOHN C. BEIERS, COUNTY COUNSEL
19	
20	By:/s/ KAREN ROSENTHAL
21	Deputy County Counsel
22	Attorneys for Defendant NICHOLAS VERBER, RANDOLPH COUSENES and
23	SAN MATEO COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE
24	OFFICE
25	
26	
27	
28	

ORDER ENLARGING TIME FOR PLAINTIFF TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS

Based on the stipulation of the parties and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time for Plaintiff to file his response to

Defendants' Motion to Dismiss is enlarged to February 6, 2018.

DATED: January 29, 2018

WILLIAM H. ORRICK UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE