

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3  
4 HYBRID AUDIO, LLC,  
5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 ASUS COMPUTER INTERNATIONAL  
8 INC, et al.,  
9 Defendants.

Case No. [3:17-cv-05947-JD](#)

**ORDER RE JOINT MOTION TO FILE  
UNDER SEAL**

Re: Dkt. No. 121

10 At the Court's direction, *see* Dkt. No. 118, the parties and non-party RPX Corporation  
11 filed a joint motion to seal exhibits and briefing related to summary judgment, *see* Dkt. No. 121.  
12 The joint motion is a resubmission of previous motions to seal, *see* Dkt. Nos. 104, 109, and 114,  
13 that were overbroad and did not conform to the filing and formatting requirements of Civil Local  
14 Rule 79-5(d)(1) and the Court's standing order. Dkt. No. 118.

15 The Court concludes that the parties have met their burden for "articulat[ing] compelling  
16 reasons supported by specific factual findings that outweigh the general history of access and the  
17 public policies favoring disclosures." *See In re Google Play Store Antitrust Litig.*, --- F. Supp. 3d  
18 ---, 2021 WL 4190165, at \*1 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 25, 2021) (quoting *Kamakana v. City and County of*  
19 *Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178-79 (9th Cir. 2006)). For each document the parties seek to seal,  
20 they have identified facts showing that the portions sought to be redacted and sealed are related to  
21 the personal information of individuals and information concerning the scope of licensing and  
22 other rights, the disclosure of which would cause the parties competitive harm in negotiations.  
23 Consequently, the motion is granted.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24 Dated: November 19, 2021

25  
26  
27   
28 JAMES DONATO  
United States District Judge