

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC.,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
UNITED MICROELECTRONICS  
CORPORATION, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [17-cv-06932-MMC](#)

**ORDER GRANTING IN PART,  
DENYING IN PART, AND DEFERRING  
IN PART RULING ON PLAINTIFF'S  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE  
UNDER SEAL; DIRECTIONS TO  
PLAINTIFF**

Before the Court is plaintiff Micron Technology, Inc.'s "Administrative Motion to File Under Seal," filed August 13, 2018, and a declaration in support thereof. In response thereto, defendant United Microelectronics Corporation has filed a responsive declaration, as has non-party Applied Materials, Inc. Having read and considered the papers filed in support of and in response to the motion, the Court rules as follows.

1. To the extent plaintiff seeks leave to file under seal each of the following documents, the motion is hereby GRANTED, plaintiff having filed in the public record redacted versions thereof that are sufficiently "narrowly tailored," see Civil L.R. 79-5(b) (providing request "must be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material"):

- a. The unredacted version of the Supplemental Opposition to Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction;
- b. The unredacted version of the Declaration of Dr. David Liu;
- c. The unredacted version of the Declaration of Victor Kelly;
- d. The unredacted version of the Declaration of Michael Bandemer and the unredacted versions of Exhibits 3-6 thereto.

//

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28


2. To the extent plaintiff seeks leave to file under seal Exhibits 6-8, 10-22, 24-25, 27-32, 39-45, 47-48 to the Declaration of Douglas L. Clark, the motion is hereby GRANTED, the designating party or parties having shown the entirety of said documents are properly filed under seal.

3. To the extent plaintiff seeks leave to file under seal Exhibit 23 to the Declaration of Douglas L. Clark, the motion is hereby DENIED, the designating party, defendant, having failed to show the document is properly filed under seal, see Civil L.R. 79-5(e)1) (providing designating party must show "designated material is sealable"), and plaintiff is hereby DIRECTED to file said exhibit in the public record "no earlier than 4 days, and no later than 10 days, after" August 27, 2018, see Civil L.R. 79-5(e)(2).

4. To the extent plaintiff seeks leave to file under seal Exhibits 1-4 and 26 to the Declaration of Douglas L. Clark, ruling on the motion is hereby DEFERRED, the designating party or parties having failed to show the entirety of said documents are properly filed under seal, but it appearing that some portions thereof are properly filed under seal; the Court hereby affords the parties leave to file, no later than September 3, 2018, a supplemental declaration or declarations identifying the specific portions of said exhibits that comprise material properly filed under seal. See Civil L.R. 79-5(b).

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: August 27, 2018

  
MAXINE M. CHESNEY  
United States District Judge