1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		
7	SAN MATEO COUNTY SHERIFFS'S	Case No. <u>18-cv-00066-EMC</u>
8	OFFICE,	
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION
10	V.	Docket No. 10
11	RICARDO JOSE CALDERON LOPEZ,	Docket No. 10
12	Defendant.	
13		

The Court previously remanded this case to the California Superior Court on the basis that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction because the removed case did not involve a federal question. *See* Docket No. 5. Defendant seeks leave to file a motion for reconsideration under Local Civil Rule 7-9. Though Defendant claims the order was "erroneous" and "based on a manifest failure . . . to consider material facts or dispositive legal arguments," Defendant does not explain what the purported errors were nor which legal arguments or facts the Court failed to consider. Thus, he has not met his burden to show that leave to file a motion for reconsideration is warranted.

In any case, such a motion would be futile. The Court has already transferred the matter to
the California Superior Court, so it no longer has jurisdiction over it. Further, "[a]n order
remanding a case to the State court from which it was removed is not reviewable on appeal or
otherwise," except when removed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1442 or 28 U.S.C. § 1443. *See* 28
U.S.C. § 1447(d). This rule also precludes reconsideration by the district court. *See Seedman v. United States Dist. Court for Cent. Dist. of Cal.*, 837 F.2d 413, 414 (9th Cir. 1988) (nonreviewability language "has been universally construed to preclude not only appellate review but

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

1	also reconsideration by the district court"). Defendant removed the case on the basis of 28 U.S.C.
2	§§ 1441(a) and 1446(a), so the exceptions to non-reviewability do not apply.
3	Defendant's motion is DENIED .
4	This order disposes of Docket No. 10.
5	
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.
7	
8	Dated: January 23, 2018
9	tall
10	EDWARD M. CHEN United States District Judge
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2