

First, plaintiff seeks an extension of time to respond to defendant's motion to request screening. Pursuant to a prior order, the screening of plaintiff's complaint will be deferred until after resolution of defendants' motions to dismiss. After filing her request for extension but before the filing of this order, plaintiff submitted a response to the motion to request screening. Plaintiff's request for extension of time is accordingly **DENIED AS MOOT.**

Second, plaintiff seeks an appointment of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e).
Appointment of counsel under § 1915(e) is not warranted absent exceptional circumstances.
Aldabe v. Aldabe, 616 F.2d 1089, 1093 (9th Cir. 1980). There has not been a showing that such exceptional circumstances exist in this case. Plaintiff's request for appointment of counsel is accordingly **DENIED**.

Third, plaintiff moves to file a second amended complaint. Given that her proposed amendments do not substantively alter her complaint, her motion to file a second amended complaint will be held in abeyance until after resolution of defendants' motions to dismiss. Plaintiff's motion to file a second amended complaint is **DENIED**.

Fourth, plaintiff renews her motion to show cause. This motion will also be held in
abeyance until after resolution of defendants' motions to dismiss. Plaintiff's renewed motion to
show cause is also **DENIED**.

Fifth, plaintiff gives notice that she and defendants have agreed that defendants' motions
to dismiss are moot. However, the Oklahoma defendants have not withdrawn their motions.
Accordingly, the hearing on JULY 5, 2018, AT 8 A.M. in Courtroom 12, 19th Floor, 450 Golden
Gate Avenue will remain as scheduled. Plaintiff's brief in response to these motions is due by
June 15. Defendants' reply brief is due by June 22.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 Dated: June 7, 2018.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE