

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SARAH ISBELL,

Plaintiff,

v.

No. C 18-00269 WHA

STATE OF OKLAHOMA, OKLAHOMA
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES,
OKLAHOMA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SUPPORT
SERVICES, ED LAKE, officially and individually,
DAVID HAMMONS, officially and individually, JIM
HUTCHINSON, officially and individually, STATE
OF CALIFORNIA, CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT
OF CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES, ALISHA
GRIFFIN, officially and individually, MICHAEL
WILKENING, officially and individually, and LISA
DUGAN, officially and individually,

Defendants.


**ORDER GRANTING
APPLICATION TO
PROCEED IN FORMA
PAUPERIS ON
APPEAL**

Our court of appeals referred the matter to this Court to determine whether *pro se* plaintiff Sarah Isbell's *in forma pauperis* status should continue for this appeal from the August 2 judgment.

Plaintiff submitted an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* indicating that she is only employed part-time and currently lives in her car. (Dkt. No. 78). Although the Court has rejected and disagreed with plaintiff's arguments, it is unable to say the appeal is so frivolous as to deny *in forma pauperis* status. For this reason, plaintiff's motion for leave to appeal *in forma pauperis* is **GRANTED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 11, 2018.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE