Northern District of California United States District Court

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

1

| 2 | | | |
|----|---------------------------------|--|--|
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 5 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | SCOTT RICKS, | Case No. <u>18-cv-01069-JD</u> | |
| 8 | Plaintiff, | | |
| 9 | v. | ORDER REMANDING ACTION TO STATE COURT | |
| 10 | M. VOONG, | Re: Dkt. No. 13 | |
| 11 | Defendant. | | |
| 12 | | | |
| | | | |

This pro se prisoner's civil action was originally filed in Monterey County Superior Court. Defendant removed the case to this Court because plaintiff alleged a violation of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution. Plaintiff has repeatedly objected to the removal from state court. The Court screened the complaint and dismissed it with leave to amend. The Court advised plaintiff that elimination of any federal claims would permit remand to state court. Plaintiff has filed a reply stating that he wishes to proceed in state court and he again represents that this is not a federal action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

20 Because plaintiff has expressly disavowed any federal claims, the case will be remanded to state court so that plaintiff may litigate in his chosen forum.¹ See Swett v. Schenk, 792 F.2d 1447, 1450 (9th Cir. 1986) ("it is within the district court's discretion, once the basis for removal 22 23 jurisdiction is dropped, whether to hear the rest of the action or remand it to the state court from 24 which it was removed"); Plute v. Roadway Package System, Inc., 141 F. Supp. 2d 1005, 1007 25 (N.D. Cal. 2001) (court may remand sua sponte or on motion of a party). Plaintiff says that he does not want to pursue any federal claims, and so any federal claims that might be stated or 26

27

28

¹ The Court declines to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims. *See United Mine Workers of Am. v. Gibbs*, 383 U.S. 715, 726 (1966).

United States District Court Northern District of California implied in the complaint are dismissed with prejudice.

The action is **REMANDED** to the Monterey County Superior Court for such other and further proceedings as that court deems proper. Plaintiff motion (Docket No. 13) is **GRANTED** to the extent the case is remanded.² The Clerk shall close the file and send the necessary materials to the Monterey County Superior Court for the remand. All further motions must be filed in state court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 25, 2018

JAMES DONATO United States District Judge

^{28 &}lt;sup>2</sup> To the extent plaintiff seeks to have the case transferred to San Bernardino County Superior Court, he must raise that issue in state court.

| 1 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
|--------|--|---|--|
| 2 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 3 4 | SCOTT RICKS, | Case No. 18-cv-01069-JD | |
| 5 | Plaintiff, | | |
| 6 | v. | CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE | |
| 7 | M. VOONG, | | |
| 8 | Defendant. | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. | | |
| 11 | District Court, Northern District of California. | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | That on July 25, 2018, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing | | |
| 14 | said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | receptacle located in the Clerk's office. | | |
| 17 | Scott Ricks ID: V-35068 | | |
| 18 | Salinas Valley State Prison P.O. Box 1050 | | |
| 19 | Soledad, CA 93960-1050 | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | Dated: July 25, 2018 | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | Susan Y. Soong Clerk, United States District Court | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | By: Kin R. Llord | |
| 26 | | LISA R. CLARK, Deputy Clerk to the | |
| 27 | | Honorable JAMES DONATO | |
| 28 | | | |

United States District Court Northern District of California