Northern District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

L.G.,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff,

v.

MARTIN J. O'MALLEY,

Defendant.

Case No. 18-cv-03492-JCS

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR ORNEY'S FEES UNDER 42 U.S.C. § 406(b) WITHOUT PREJUDICE

Re: Dkt. No. 27

Joshua B. Kons ("counsel"), who represented L.G. in this matter under a contingency fee agreement, brings a Motion for Attorney Fees Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b) ("Motion"), seeking an award of \$24,899.92. in attorney fees for work before this Court. The Motion is DENIED without prejudice on the basis that it is procedurally improper.¹

First, where counsel files a motion for fees in an action for social security benefits, counsel must provide a statement showing that a copy of the motion has been sent to the plaintiff to the claimant. 20 C.F.R. § 404.1725(a)(7); Holder v. Astrue, No. CIV.05-3521-PHX (RCB), 2009 WL 1363538, at *3 (D. Ariz. May 7, 2009) ("There is no question but that, when making section 406(b) applications, as here, attorneys are required to give notice to their clients as to the existence of such application." (internal quotation marks omitted)). Courts evaluating applications for attorneys' fees in the context of social security disability benefits have, therefore, required a proof of service on the plaintiff. See Atkins v. Astrue, No. C 10-0180 PJH, 2012 WL 5350265, at *5 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 29, 2012) (describing plaintiff's counsel's "failure to show that [plaintiff] received

¹ The parties have consented to the jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).

notice" of plaintiff's counsel's motion under section 406(b) as a "deficiency" and denying the motion partly for this reason.). Counsel states in the Motion that he "shall serve Plaintiff with a copy of this motion upon filing[,]" Motion at 5, but he has supplied no declaration or proof of service showing that he actually did so.

Second, documents presented to the court in connection with attorneys' fee requests should be authenticated. *See Obadagbonyi v. Sky Recovery Servs., Ltd.*, No. 3:10-CV0226-LRH-RAM, 2010 WL 3636330, at *1 (D. Nev. Sept. 10, 2010) (denying request for attorney's fees in a FDCPA matter without prejudice because an "unsigned, unauthenticated, and redacted document" was insufficient to "establish the fee rate and conditions of the contract necessary to support an award of fees pursuant to the offer of judgment."); see also Fed. R. Evid. 901 (establishing general requirements of authenticating or identifying an item of evidence). Thus, the attachments submitted in connection with the Motion should be authenticated through a declaration signed under penalty of perjury. Any facts upon which the Motion relies should also be contained in a sworn declaration to the extent they go beyond the facts established by the exhibits.

Therefore, the Motion is DENIED without prejudice to refiling a motion for attorneys' fees that cures these procedural defects.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:

JOSEPH C. SPERO

United States Magistrate Judge