UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

v.	Plaintiff(s)	CASE No C
		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s)	
stipulati	•	rred regarding ADR and have reached the following ADR L.R. 3-5. The parties agree to participate in the
□ Ea	arly Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (AD	OR L.R. 5)
	ediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
□ Ea	arly Settlement Conference with a M	Magistrate Judge (ADR L.R. 7)
■ Pr	vivate ADR (specify process and prov	vider)
The part	ties agree to hold the ADR session by	<i>7</i> :
-		r: a the date of the order referring the case to ADR)
• the	e presumptive deadline (90 days from	
□ the oth	e presumptive deadline (90 days from	the date of the order referring the case to ADR)
other	the presumptive deadline (90 days from the requested deadline: 150 days for 150 day	in the date of the order referring the case to ADR) From the date of the /s/ Jaime A. Leaños Attorney for Plaintiff
other	the presumptive deadline (90 days from the requested deadline: 150 days f	the date of the order referring the case to ADR) From the date of the /s/ Jaime A. Leaños
Date: 0	e presumptive deadline (90 days from her requested deadline: 150 days for 150 days	/s/ Jaime A. Leaños Attorney for Plaintiff /s/ Noah G. Blechman Attorney for Defendant ISTRICA
Date: 0	e presumptive deadline (90 days from her requested deadline: 150 days for 150 days	the date of the order referring the case to ADR) from the date of the /s/ Jaime A. Leaños Attorney for Plaintiff /s/ Noah G. Blechman Attorney for Defendant ISTRIC CATIONS: