For the Northern District of California

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	
9	TATYANA EVGENIEVNA
10	DREVALEVA, No. C 18-03748 WHA
11	Plaintiff,
12	v. ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR JUDICIAL RECUSAL
13	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS, et al., AND REFERRING MOTION TO DISOUALIFY TO ANOTHER
14	DISTRICT JUDGE FOR DECISION Defendants.
15	/
16	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 144, <i>pro se</i> plaintiff Tatyana Evgenievna Drevaleva has filed an
17	affidavit claiming that the undersigned judge "has a bias and prejudice towards the Plaintiff and
18	acts in favor of the opposing Party" (Dkt. No. 102). Section 144 states:
19	Whenever a party to any proceeding in a district court makes and
20	files a timely and sufficient affidavit that the judge before whom the matter is pending has a personal bias or prejudice either against him or in favor of any adverse party, such judge shall proceed no
21	further therein, but another judge shall be assigned to hear such proceeding.
22	The affidavit shall state the facts and the reasons for the belief that
23	bias or prejudice exists, and shall be filed not less than ten days before the beginning of the term at which the proceeding is to be
24	heard, or good cause shall be shown for failure to file it within such time. A party may file only one such affidavit in any case. It
2526	shall be accompanied by a certificate of counsel of record stating that it is made in good faith.
27	The undersigned judge declines to recuse himself on grounds of bias and remains
28	determined to give the <i>pro se</i> plaintiff fair hearings and proceedings. The rulings complained

of were decided on their merits, not on account of any bias against plaintiff. Treating the motion, in the alternative, as a motion to disqualify the undersigned judge, the motion shall be randomly assigned to another district judge for decision. Accordingly, the Clerk shall randomly reassign the motion to be heard by another district judge. All pending motions are **STAYED** pending resolution of the motion (Dkt. No. 86, 96, 99, 124, 126). The March 21 and April 4 hearings are **VACATED** and may be re-noticed following resolution of the motion for recusal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 25, 2019.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE