

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7  
8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
9

10 TATYANA EVGENIEVNA  
11 DREVALEVA,

No. C 18-03748 WHA

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

**ORDER RE *IN FORMA PAUPERIS*  
STATUS ON APPEAL**

14 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS  
15 AFFAIRS, *et al.*,

16 Defendants.  
\_\_\_\_\_

17 Our court of appeals has referred this matter to the undersigned judge for the limited  
18 purpose of determining whether *in forma pauperis* status should continue for *pro se* plaintiff  
19 Tatyana Drevaleva (Dkt. No. 179). There is no evidence that plaintiff's financial position has  
20 changed significantly since she was granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* in the instant  
21 action. Moreover, while plaintiff's case is weak, the Court cannot say that plaintiff's appeal is  
22 so frivolous or insincere as to deny continued *in forma pauperis* status. Accordingly, this order  
23 finds that she may proceed *in forma pauperis* on her pending appeal. The Clerk shall please  
24 notify the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit of this order.  
25

26 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**  
27

28 Dated: August 14, 2019.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE