

1  
2  
3  
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
6

7 TATYANA DREVALEVA,  
8 Plaintiff,  
9 v.  
10 JOSEPH GLAZER, et al.,  
11 Defendants.

Case No. 21-cv-00500-JCS  
Also Filed in Case No. 18-cv-03748-WHA

**ORDER GRANTING APPLICATION  
TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS**

**SUA SPONTE REFERRAL TO  
DETERMINE WHETHER CASES ARE  
RELATED**

Re: Dkt. No. 2

12  
13  
14 Plaintiff Tatyana Drevaleva, pro se, has applied to proceed in forma pauperis. Good cause  
15 having been shown, that application is GRANTED.

16 As Drevaleva acknowledges in her complaint and application to proceed in forma pauperis,  
17 her claims arise from essentially the same facts as a number of other cases she has filed, all of  
18 which were assigned to the Honorable William Alsup based on his determination that they were  
19 related cases. The Ninth Circuit recently issued a memorandum opinion remanding one of those  
20 cases (which was assigned case number 18-cv-03748-WHA in this Court) for further proceedings,  
21 although a mandate has not yet issued. *See Drevaleva v. Dep't of Veterans Affairs*, \_\_ F. App'x  
22 \_\_, No. 19-16395, 2020 WL 6778779 (9th Cir. Nov. 18, 2020). This case is therefore  
23 REFERRED sua sponte under Civil Local Rule 3-12(c) to Judge Alsup to determine whether it is  
24 related to case number 18-cv-03748-WHA and the other cases related to that case.<sup>1</sup> Any party  
25

26  
27 <sup>1</sup> The other cases are *Drevaleva v. United States*, No. 19-cv-01454-WHA (N.D. Cal.); *Drevaleva*  
28 *v. U.S. Dep't of Veterans Affairs*, No. 19-cv-02665-WHA (N.D. Cal.); *Drevaleva v. Dep't of*  
*Veterans Affairs*, No. 19-cv-05927-WHA (N.D. Cal.); *Drevaleva v. Dep't of Veterans Affairs*, No.  
19-cv-06127-WHA (N.D. Cal.); and *Drevaleva v. United States*, No. 20-cv-00820-WHA (N.D.  
Cal.).

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

may file a response supporting or opposing finding the cases related no later than January 29, 2021. *See* Civ. L.R. 3-12(c), 3-12(e), 7-11(b).

Issues of the sufficiency of the complaint for the purpose of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) and service of process will be resolved after determination of whether the cases are related.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: January 25, 2021

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JOSEPH C. SPERO  
Chief Magistrate Judge