

1  
2  
3  
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
6

7  
8 TATYANA EVGENIEVNA  
DREVALEVA,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS  
12 AFFAIRS, et al.,

13 Defendants.  
14

Case No. 18-cv-03748-JCS

**ORDER DENYING VARIOUS  
MOTIONS**

Re: Dkt. Nos. 406, 407, 408

15 Plaintiff's administrative motion for leave to amend (dkt. 406) is DENIED. A motion for  
16 leave to amend under Rule 15 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure must be brought as a motion  
17 noticed for a hearing under Civil Local Rule 7-2, not an administrative motion under Civil Local  
18 Rule 7-11. Moreover, Plaintiff cannot amend by right without bringing such a motion. Rule 15  
19 allows amendment of a complaint by right under the following circumstances:

20 (A) 21 days after serving it, or

21 (B) if the pleading is one to which a responsive pleading is required,  
22 21 days after service of a responsive pleading or 21 days after service  
of a motion under Rule 12(b), (e), or (f), whichever is earlier.

23 Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1). Plaintiff's complaint was served in August of 2018. *See* dkt. 22. The  
24 twenty-one-day deadline after service of the complaint has therefore long expired. And while  
25 Defendants only filed and served a responsive pleading—their answer (dkt. 396)—relatively  
26 recently, they filed and served a motion to dismiss under Rule 12(b) in October of 2018. Dkt. 34.  
27 Since Rule 15(a)(1)(B) sets a deadline of twenty-one days after either service of a responsive  
28 pleading or service of a motion under Rule 12, “whichever is earlier,” the deadline here is based

United States District Court  
Northern District of California

1 on the motion to dismiss, and expired in 2018. Plaintiff therefore must file a noticed motion under  
2 Civil Local Rule 7-2 seeking leave under Rule 15(a)(2) or Rule 15(d) if she wishes to amend or  
3 supplement her complaint.

4 Plaintiff's motion for a more definite statement (dkt. 408) of Defendants' answer is  
5 DENIED. Rule 12(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure allows a party to "move for a more  
6 definite statement of a pleading to which a responsive pleading is allowed but which is so vague or  
7 ambiguous that the party cannot reasonably prepare a response." An answer is not "a pleading to  
8 which a responsive pleading is allowed"; it is itself a "responsive pleading." Plaintiff need not  
9 prepare a response to the answer, and thus cannot bring a motion under Rule 12(e). Regardless,  
10 Defendants' answer sufficiently admits or denies each allegation of Plaintiff's complaint.

11 Plaintiff's "Administrative Motion to Clarify Whether or Not [She] Should File a Reply to  
12 Defendants' June 04, 2021 Answer" (dkt. 407) seeks legal advice from the Court. The Court  
13 cannot provide legal advice to the parties, and a party may not bring a motion seeking such advice.  
14 The motion is therefore DENIED.

15 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

16 Dated: June 14, 2021

17   
18 \_\_\_\_\_  
19 JOSEPH C. SPERO  
20 Chief Magistrate Judge  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28