Dockets.Justia.com

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9		
10	TATYANA EVGENIEVNA DREVALEVA,	No. C 18-03748 WHA
11	Plaintiff,	
12	v.	ORDER REVOKING IN
13 14	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS, <i>et al.</i> ,	<i>FORMA PAUPERIS</i> STATUS ON APPEAL
15	Defendants.	
16	,	
17	An order dated November 19 denied pro se plaintiff's request for appointment of	
18	counsel under <i>Bradshawe v. Zoological Soc'y of San Diego</i> , 662 F.2d 1301, 1318 (9th Cir.	
19	1981), for failure to produce evidence of her efforts to secure counsel (Dkt. No. 57). Plaintiff	
20	subsequently appealed that denial (Dkt. No. 58).	

Now, our court of appeals has referred plaintiff's appeal to the district court to determine whether plaintiff's in forma pauperis status should continue on appeal. In Hooker v. American 22 Airlines, 302 F.3d 1091, 1092 (9th Cir. 2002), our court of appeals held that revocation of in forma pauperis status is appropriate where the district court finds the appeal to be frivolous.

Here, instead of moving for leave for reconsideration or making any kind of attempt to produce evidence before the Court of her efforts to secure counsel, plaintiff immediately appealed the denial of appointment of counsel. Without such evidence of plaintiff's efforts to secure counsel, plaintiff is not entitled to appointment of counsel. And, although plaintiff is proceeding pro se, she is readily capable of supplementing to the Court any noted deficiencies

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

in her requests (*see* Dkt. No. 53). Accordingly, this order finds plaintiff's present appeal to be
frivolous and hereby **REVOKES** plaintiff's *in forma pauperis* status. This revocation is without
prejudice to any subsequent appeals made by plaintiff. The Clerk shall please notify the United
States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit of this order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 26, 2018.

SUP WILLIA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE