UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
ICT OF CALIFORNIA	
Case No. 19-cv-02573-EMC	
ORDER RE INSTRUCTION ON PRIVILEGE LITIGATION	
Docket No. 1942	
This order memorializes the Court's rulings on the parties' dispute regarding the	
pproved and read to the jury the following	
hear live testimony from current or former in-	
testimony by Teva employees and lawyers about	
veakness of Gilead's patents, the likelihood of	
case, and reasons to settle the FTC patent case.	
You will see and hear this evidence because Teva waived its attorney-client privilege over those	
subject areas. As with all of the evidence you will see and hear in this case, you must determine	
t waive privilege over those subject areas. That	
ilead's right under federal law. Because Gilead is	
ot hear testimony from Gilead employees or	
on the strength or weakness of Gilead's patents,	
bine (FTC) patent case, or reasons to settle the	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

FTC patent case. That is why the attorneys are not asking certain Gilead witnesses questions on these topics, and why certain Gilead witnesses will not testify on these topics.

4. You should not draw any conclusion adverse to any party because a witness has invoked the privilege. Nor should you speculate on what a party's counsel may have asked a witness, or how a witness may have testified, if the privilege had not been asserted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 6, 2023

Northern District of California United States District Court

EDWARD M. CHEN United States District Judge